

The blob complex

Scott Morrison
<http://tqft.net/>
 joint work with Kevin Walker

UC Berkeley / Miller Institute for Basic Research


Low-Dimensional Topology and Categorification,
 Stony Brook University, June 21-25 2010

slides: <http://tqft.net/sunysb-blobs>

paper: <http://tqft.net/blobs>

What is the blob complex?

The blob complex takes an n -manifold \mathcal{M} and an ' n -category with strong duality' \mathcal{C} and produces a chain complex, $\mathcal{B}_*(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{C})$.



Motivation: Khovanov homology as a 4d TQFT

Theorem

Khovanov homology gives a 4-category:

3-morphisms tangles, with the usual 3 operations,


4-morphisms $\text{Hom}_{Kh}(T_1, T_2) = Kh(T_1 \cup \bar{T}_2)$, composition defined by saddle cobordisms

There is a corresponding 4-manifold invariant. Given $L \subset \partial W^4$, it associates a doubly-graded vector space $\mathcal{A}(W, L; Kh)$.

$$\mathcal{A}(B^4, L; Kh) \cong Kh(L)$$

Computations are hard

The corresponding 4-manifold invariant is hard to compute, because the TQFT skein module construction breaks the exact triangle for resolving a crossing.



There is a spectral sequence converging to 0 relating the blob homologies for the triangle of resolutions.

Conjecture

It may be possible to compute the skein module by first computing the entire blob homology.

n -categories

Defining n -categories is fraught with difficulties

For now, I'm not going to go into details; I'll draw 2-dimensional pictures, and rely on your intuition for pivotal 2-categories.

Later, I'll explain the notions of 'topological n -categories' and ' A_∞ n -categories'.


- ▶ Defining n -categories: a choice of 'shape' for morphisms.
- ▶ We allow all shapes! A vector space for every ball.
- ▶ 'Strong duality' is integral in our definition.

Fields and pasting diagrams

Pasting diagrams

Fix an n -category with strong duality \mathcal{C} . A *field* on \mathcal{M} is a pasting diagram drawn on \mathcal{M} , with cells labelled by morphisms from \mathcal{C} .

Example ($\mathcal{C} = \text{TL}_d$ the Temperley-Lieb category)



Given a pasting diagram on a ball, we can evaluate it to a morphism. We call the kernel the *null fields*.

$$\text{ev} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ \text{Diagram 2} \end{array} - \frac{1}{d} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3} \\ \text{Diagram 4} \end{array} \right) = 0$$

Background: TQFT invariants

Definition

A *decapitated* $n + 1$ -dimensional TQFT associates a vector space $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M})$ to each n -manifold \mathcal{M} .

('decapitated': no numerical invariants of $n + 1$ -manifolds.)

If the manifold has boundary, we get a category. Objects are boundary data, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M})}(x, y) = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}; x, y)$.

We want to extend 'all the way down'. The k -category associated to the $n - k$ -manifold \mathcal{Y} is $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Y} \times B^k)$.

Definition

Given an n -category \mathcal{C} , the associated TQFT is

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}) / \ker \text{ev},$$

fields modulo fields which evaluate to zero inside some ball.


Definition of the blob complex, $k = 0, 1$

Motivation

A *local* construction, such that when \mathcal{M} is a ball, $\mathcal{B}_*(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{C})$ is a resolution of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{C})$.

$$\mathcal{B}_0(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}), \text{ arbitrary pasting diagrams on } \mathcal{M}.$$

$$\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{C}) = \mathbb{C} \left\{ (B, u, r) \left| \begin{array}{l} B \text{ an embedded ball} \\ u \in \mathcal{F}(B) \text{ in the kernel} \\ r \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M} \setminus B) \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$



$$d_1 : (B, u, r) \mapsto u \circ r$$

$$\mathcal{B}_0 / \text{im}(d_1) \cong \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{C})$$

Definition, $k = 2$

$$\mathcal{B}_2 = \mathcal{B}_2^{\text{disjoint}} \oplus \mathcal{B}_2^{\text{nested}}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_2^{\text{disjoint}} = \mathbb{C} \left\{ \left[\text{Diagram of a genus-2 surface with two disjoint blobs } u_1 \text{ and } u_2 \text{ and regions } B_1, B_2, r \right] \mid \text{ev}_{B_i}(u_i) = 0 \right\}$$

$$d_2 : (B_1, B_2, u_1, u_2, r) \mapsto (B_2, u_2, r \circ u_1) - (B_1, u_1, r \circ u_2)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_2^{\text{nested}} = \mathbb{C} \left\{ \left[\text{Diagram of a genus-2 surface with one nested blob } u \text{ and regions } B_1, B_2, r, r' \right] \mid \text{ev}_{B_1}(u) = 0 \right\}$$

$$d_2 : (B_1, B_2, u, r', r) \mapsto (B_2, u \circ r', r) - (B_1, u, r \circ r')$$

Definition, general case

$$\mathcal{B}_k = \mathbb{C} \left\{ \left[\text{Diagram of a genus-2 surface with } k \text{ blobs } u_1, \dots, u_k \text{ and region } r \right] \right\}$$

k blobs, properly nested or disjoint, with "innermost" blobs labelled by pasting diagrams that evaluate to zero.

$$d_k : \mathcal{B}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{k-1} = \sum_i (-1)^i (\text{erase blob } i)$$

Hochschild homology

TQFT on S^1 is 'coinvariants'

$$\mathcal{A}(S^1, A) = \mathbb{C} \left\{ \left[\text{Diagram of } S^1 \text{ with blobs } m, a, b \right] \right\} / \left\{ \left[\text{Diagram } ma \right] - \left[\text{Diagram } ma \right] \right\} = A / (ab - ba)$$

The Hochschild complex is 'coinvariants of the bar resolution'

$$\cdots \rightarrow A \otimes A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A \xrightarrow{m \otimes a \rightarrow ma - am} A$$

Theorem ($\text{Hoch}_*(A) \cong \mathcal{B}_*(S^1; A)$)

$$m \otimes a \mapsto \left[\text{Diagram of } S^1 \text{ with blobs } ma, m, a, am \text{ and regions } u_1, u_2 \right]$$

$$u_1 = \left[\text{Diagram } ma \right] - \left[\text{Diagram } m \right]$$

$$u_2 = \left[\text{Diagram } m \right] - \left[\text{Diagram } am \right]$$

An action of $C_*(\text{Homeo}(\mathcal{M}))$

Theorem

There's a chain map

$$C_*(\text{Homeo}(\mathcal{M})) \otimes \mathcal{B}_*(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_*(\mathcal{M}).$$

which is associative up to homotopy, and compatible with gluing.

Taking H_0 , this is the mapping class group acting on a TQFT skein module.

Gluing

$\mathcal{B}_*(Y \times [0, 1])$ is naturally an A_∞ category

m_2 : gluing $[0, 1] \simeq [0, 1] \cup [0, 1]$

m_k : reparametrising $[0, 1]$

If $Y \subset \partial X$ then $\mathcal{B}_*(X)$ is an A_∞ module over $\mathcal{B}_*(Y)$.

Theorem (Gluing formula)

When $Y \sqcup Y^{op} \subset \partial X$,

$$\mathcal{B}_*(X \bigcup_Y \circlearrowleft) \cong \mathcal{B}_*(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_*(Y)} \circlearrowleft^{A_\infty}$$

In principle, we can compute blob homology from a handle decomposition, by iterated Hochschild homology.

Higher Deligne conjecture


Deligne conjecture

Chains on the little discs operad acts on Hochschild cohomology.

Call $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}_*(\partial M)}(\mathcal{B}_*(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{B}_*(\mathcal{M}))$ 'blob cochains on \mathcal{M} '.

Theorem (Higher Deligne conjecture)

Chains on the n -dimensional fat graph operad acts on blob cochains.



Maps to a space

Fix a target space T . There is an A_∞ n -category $\pi_{\leq n}^\infty(T)$ defined by

$$\pi_{\leq n}^\infty(T)(B) = C_*(\text{Maps}(B \rightarrow T)).$$

Theorem

The blob complex recovers mapping spaces:

$$\mathcal{B}_*(M; \pi_{\leq n}^\infty(T)) \cong C_*(\text{Maps}(M \rightarrow T))$$

This generalizes a result of Lurie: if T is $n - 1$ connected, $\pi_{\leq n}^\infty(T)$ is an E_n -algebra and the blob complex is the same as his topological chiral homology.