$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Motivation and overview} \\ \mbox{Recognizing braided categories via } X \otimes X \\ \mbox{Sources of coincidences} \\ \mbox{Examples and applications} \end{array}$

Coincidences of tensor categories

Noah Snyder http://math.berkeley.edu/~nsnyder joint work with Scott Morrison and Emily Peters

UC Berkeley

Modular tensor categories, Indiana, March 2009 http://tqft.net/indiana-d2n $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Motivation and overview}\\ \mbox{Recognizing braided categories via} & X \otimes X\\ \mbox{Sources of coincidences}\\ \mbox{Examples and applications} \end{array}$

Outline

- Motivation and overview
 - Goal and motivation
 - The quantum subgroup D_{2n} and its structure
 - Coincidences involving D_{2n}
- 2 Recognizing braided categories via $X\otimes X$
 - *P* ⊗ *P*
 - Recognizing categories by $X \otimes X$
 - More on G_2
- 3 Sources of coincidences
 - Level-Rank duality
 - Kirby-Melvin symmetry
 - Coincidences of Dynkin diagrams
- 4 Examples and applications
 - G₂ and unitarity
 - Knot polynomial identities

 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Motivation and overview}\\ \mbox{Recognizing braided categories via X $\otimes X \\ \mbox{Sources of coincidences}\\ \mbox{Examples and applications} \end{array}$

Goal and motivation The quantum subgroup D_{2n} and its structure Coincidences involving D_{2n}

"One goal [of this conference] is to establish the study of modular category theory as a subject in parallel to that of group theory."

Along those lines someday we'd like to have a classification of modular categories. What would such a classification look like?

- Families of examples (for example, coming from quantum groups).
- Sporadic examples (for examples, see Scott's talk).
- A description of when the same category appears twice on the above lists (for example, see this talk!).

イロト イポト イラト イラト

 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Motivation and overview}\\ \mbox{Recognizing braided categories via X \otimes X $Sources of coincidences $Examples and applications X $= X $$

Goal and motivation The quantum subgroup D_{2n} and its structure Coincidences involving D_{2n}

Examples of coincidences in finite group theory:

- $\operatorname{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5) \cong A_5$
- S_6 has an outer automorphism

Goal of this talk

Find coincidences of modular categories that involve the even part of the subfactor planar algebra D_{2n} . (This is a modular category related to $\mathfrak{so}_{3.}$)

Methods

- Hands-on technique for recognizing some important families of tensor categories by looking at $X \otimes X$.
- Theoretical explanation of coincidences using Level-Rank duality, Kirby-Melvin symmetry, and coincidences of Dynkin diagrams.

 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Motivation and overview}\\ \mbox{Recognizing braided categories via X & X \\ \mbox{Sources of coincidences}\\ \mbox{Examples and applications} \end{array}$

Goal and motivation The quantum subgroup D_{2n} and its structure Coincidences involving D_{2n}

Why should you care?

Classifying modular categories is a long way off, so why should you care about coincidences? Because they have applications!

- These coincidences give strange identities between knot polynomials.
- One coincidence is ¹/₂D₁₄ ≃ (G₂)_{¹/₃}. Since the former is known to be unitary, this coincidence answers a question of Rowell.

Goal and motivation The quantum subgroup D_{2n} and its structure Coincidences involving D_{2n}

What is D_{2n} ?

- Start with the braided category $TL_{q+q^{-1}}$ for $q = e^{\frac{\pi i}{4n-2}}$.
- "Modularize," by setting $f^{(4n-3)} \cong \mathbf{1}$
- This quotient isn't braided, instead it's a spin-modular category.
- But the even part $\frac{1}{2}D_{2n}$ is modular.
- $\frac{1}{2}D_{2n}$ is the modularization of $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_3) = \frac{1}{2}TL_{q+q^{-1}}$.
- D_{2n} is related to the Dynkin diagram D_{2n} , but it is <u>not</u> related to SO_{4n} .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Motivation and overview}\\ \mbox{Recognizing braided categories via $X \otimes X$}\\ \mbox{Sources of coincidences}\\ \mbox{Examples and applications} \end{array}$

Goal and motivation The quantum subgroup D_{2n} and its structure Coincidences involving D_{2n}

Skein theory description of D_{2n}

Fix $q = \exp(\frac{\pi i}{4n-2})$. Let $\mathcal{PA}(S)$ be the planar algebra generated by a single "box" S with 4n - 4 strands, modulo the following relations.

- A closed circle is equal to [2]_q = (q + q⁻¹) = 2 cos(π/(4n-2)) times the empty diagram.
- Rotation relation:

$$s = i \cdot s$$

• Capping relation:
$$-5 = 0$$

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Motivation and overview}\\ \mbox{Recognizing braided categories via X & X \\ \mbox{Sources of coincidences}\\ \mbox{Examples and applications} \end{array}$

Goal and motivation The quantum subgroup D_{2n} and its structure Coincidences involving D_{2n}



< 日 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

э

 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Motivation and overview}\\ \mbox{Recognizing braided categories via X \otimes X $Sources of coincidences $Examples and applications X $= X $$

Goal and motivation The quantum subgroup D_{2n} and its structure Coincidences involving D_{2n}

 D_{2n} is not braided. However it has a "braiding up to sign."

Theorem

You can isotope a strand above an S box, but isotoping a strand below an S box introduces a factor of -1.



Since diagrams in $\frac{1}{2}D_{2n}$ have an even number of strands, $\frac{1}{2}D_{2n}$ is braided.

 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Motivation and overview}\\ \mbox{Recognizing braided categories via $X \otimes X$}\\ \mbox{Sources of coincidences}\\ \mbox{Examples and applications} \end{array}$

Goal and motivation The quantum subgroup D_{2n} and its structure Coincidences involving D_{2n}

Theorem

The planar algebra D_{2n} is semi-simple, with minimal projections $f^{(k)}$ for k = 0, ..., 2n - 3 along with P and Q defined by

$$P=\frac{1}{2}\left(f^{(2n-2)}+S\right)$$

and

$$Q=\frac{1}{2}\left(f^{(2n-2)}-S\right).$$

The principal graph is the Dynkin diagram D_{2n} .



 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Motivation and overview}\\ \mbox{Recognizing braided categories via $X \otimes X$}\\ \mbox{Sources of coincidences}\\ \mbox{Examples and applications} \end{array}$

Goal and motivation The quantum subgroup D_{2n} and its structure Coincidences involving D_{2n}

Coincidences involving D_{2n}

- $\frac{1}{2}D_4 \cong \mathbb{Z}/3$ (but an unusual braiding!) with $P \mapsto \chi_{\rho^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}}$.
- $\frac{1}{2}D_6 \cong U_{e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{10}}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \times \mathfrak{sl}_2)^{unimodularize}$ with $P \mapsto V_{(1)} \boxtimes V_{(0)}$.
- $\frac{1}{2}D_8 \cong U_{e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{14}}}(\mathfrak{sl}_4)^{unimodularize}$ with $P \mapsto V_{(100)}$.

• $\frac{1}{2}D_{10}$ has an automorphism: $P \bigvee Q$.

•
$$\frac{1}{2}D_{14} \cong U_{e^{2\pi i \frac{23}{26}}}(\mathfrak{g}_2)$$
 with $P \mapsto V_{(10)}$.

(Technical point: on the righthand side we've changed the pivotal structure and modularized, furthermore we've been very careful about choosing the right root of q in the definition of the braiding.)

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

 ${\sf P}\otimes {\sf P}$ Recognizing categories by $X\otimes X$ More on ${\sf G}_2$

Outline

- Motivation and overview
 - Goal and motivation
 - The quantum subgroup D_{2n} and its structure
 - Coincidences involving D_{2n}
- 2 Recognizing braided categories via $X\otimes X$
 - *P* ⊗ *P*
 - Recognizing categories by $X \otimes X$
 - More on G_2
- 3 Sources of coincidences
 - Level-Rank duality
 - Kirby-Melvin symmetry
 - Coincidences of Dynkin diagrams
- ④ Examples and applications
 - G₂ and unitarity
 - Knot polynomial identities

< □ > < 向 >

Motivation and overview Recognizing braided categories via $X \otimes X$ Examples and applications

 $P \otimes P$

In D_{2n} we have the following decomposition for $P \otimes P$ (Izumi).

- If *n* is even: $P \otimes P \cong Q \oplus \bigoplus_{l=0}^{\frac{n-4}{2}} f^{(4l+2)}$ • If *n* is odd: $P \otimes P \cong P \oplus \bigoplus_{l=0}^{\frac{n-3}{2}} f^{(4l)}$

In particular,

- In D_A . $P \otimes P \cong Q$
- In D_6 , $P \otimes P \cong \mathbf{1} \oplus P$
- In D_8 , $P \otimes P \cong f^{(2)} \oplus Q$
- In D_{10} , $P \otimes P \cong \mathbf{1} \oplus f^{(4)} \oplus P$
- In D_{14} , $P \otimes P \cong \mathbf{1} \oplus f^{(4)} \oplus f^{(8)} \oplus P$

▲ 同 ▶ ▲ 三 ▶

 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Motivation and overview}\\ \mbox{Recognizing braided categories via $X \otimes X}\\ \mbox{Sources of coincidences}\\ \mbox{Examples and applications} \end{array}$

 $P \otimes P$ Recognizing categories by $X \otimes X$ More on G_2

Suppose that X is an object in a unimodal braided semisimple tensor category \mathcal{C} .

$if \ X \otimes X \cong$	then ${\mathcal C}$ takes a braided functor from
A	cyclic group category
$1\oplus A$	Temperley-Lieb category
$A \oplus B$	HOMFLY skein category
$1\oplus A\oplus B$	Kauffman or Dubrovnik skein categories
$1 \oplus X \oplus A \oplus B$	$U_q(G_2)$ (if you're lucky)

Furthermore, the eigenvalues of the braiding determine the parameters in the right column.

< 日 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

 $P \otimes P$ Recognizing categories by $X \otimes X$ More on G_2

Proof.

These results follow from standard skein theory arguments. For example suppose $X \otimes X \cong 1 \oplus A$. Since End $(X \otimes X)$ is 2-dimensional there must be a linear dependence of the form

$$= A + B.$$

Following Kauffman, rotate this equation, glue them together and apply Reidemeister 2 to see that $B = A^{-1}$ and $A^2 + A^{-2} = \dim V$. Hence there's a braided functor from Temperley-Lieb. The other cases are only a little more complicated.

Technical comments: Notice that TL is related to $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ by changing the pivotal structure. Furthermore note that in the above argument A could be either square root of A^2 . Finally the functor need not be full (=surjective on morphisms).

 $P \otimes P$ Recognizing categories by $X \otimes X$ More on G_2

More on the G_2 case.

Definition

A trivalent vertex is a rotationally symmetric map $X \otimes X \rightarrow X$. A tree is a trivalent graph without cycles (but allowing disjoint components).

Theorem (Kuperberg)

Suppose we have a trivalent vertex in a semisimple pivotal tensor category C, such that the graphs

are each equal to linear combinations of trees. Then there is a faithful pivotal functor $\operatorname{Rep}(U_q(G_2)) \to \mathcal{C}$ for some $q \in \mathbb{C}$.

 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Motivation and overview}\\ \mbox{Recognizing braided categories via $X \otimes X}\\ \mbox{Sources of coincidences}\\ \mbox{Examples and applications} \end{array}$

 $P \otimes P$ Recognizing categories by $X \otimes X$ More on G_2

Simplifying Kuperberg's conditions

Generically having a braiding implies a pentagon-bursting relation.



Expand the crossings and there will be exactly one pentagon. This gives an easier way to find a pentagon-bursting relation.

 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Motivation and overview} \\ \mbox{Recognizing braided categories via $X \otimes X$} \\ \mbox{Sources of coincidences} \\ \mbox{Examples and applications} \end{array}$

_evel-Rank duality Kirby-Melvin symmetry Coincidences of Dynkin diagrams

Outline

- Motivation and overview
 - Goal and motivation
 - The quantum subgroup D_{2n} and its structure
 - Coincidences involving D_{2n}
- 2 Recognizing braided categories via $X \otimes X$
 - *P* \otimes *P*
 - Recognizing categories by $X \otimes X$
 - More on G_2
- 3 Sources of coincidences
 - Level-Rank duality
 - Kirby-Melvin symmetry
 - Coincidences of Dynkin diagrams
 - 4 Examples and applications
 - G₂ and unitarity
 - Knot polynomial identities

< □ > < 同 >

* E > * E >

 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Motivation and overview} \\ \mbox{Recognizing braided categories via $X \otimes X$} \\ \mbox{Sources of coincidences} \\ \mbox{Examples and applications} \end{array}$

_evel-Rank duality Kirby-Melvin symmetry Coincidences of Dynkin diagrams

Level-rank duality

Relates $(\mathfrak{so}_3)_k$ to $(\mathfrak{so}_k)_3$.

Kirby-Melvin symmetry

Generalized Kirby-Melvin symmetry relates $A \mapsto A \otimes B$ when dim B = 1.

Coincidences of small Dynkin diagrams

 $D_2 = A_1 \times A_1$, $D_3 = A_3$, and D_4 has a 'triality' automorphism.

< 日 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

Level-Rank duality

Level-Rank duality a la Beliakova-Blanchet

 The BMW (or "Kauffman skein") category depends on parameters q and m.

$$- = i(q - q^{-1}) \left(- \right)$$





It has a manifest symmetry. If

$$q_{n,k} = \begin{cases} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n+k-2}\right) & \text{when } k \text{ is odd, or} \\ \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{2(n+k-2)}\right) & \text{when } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

then switching between m = n, $q = q_{n,k}$ and m = k, $q = -q_{n \ k}^{-1}$ doesn't change the relations.

- You should think of this symmetry as relating " $U_{q_{n,k}}(O_n)$ " and " $U_{-q_{n,k}^{-1}}(O_k)$,"
- except that the usual quantum group is really $U_q(\text{Spin})$.
- To get from O to SO you de-equivariantize, and to get from Spin to SO you restrict to the vector representations.
- Level-rank duality relates de-equivariantizations of the vector reps of the usual \$\$\varepsilon\$ quantum groups.

イロト イポト イラト イラト

 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Motivation and overview}\\ \mbox{Recognizing braided categories via $X \otimes X$}\\ \mbox{Sources of coincidences}\\ \mbox{Examples and applications} \end{array}$

Level-Rank duality Kirby-Melvin symmetry Coincidences of Dynkin diagrams



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

э

 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Motivation and overview} \\ \mbox{Recognizing braided categories via $X \otimes X$} \\ \mbox{Sources of coincidences} \\ \mbox{Examples and applications} \end{array}$

Level-Rank duality Kirby-Melvin symmetry Coincidences of Dynkin diagrams

Kirby-Melvin symmetry

- Generalized Kirby-Melvin symmetry relates A and $A \otimes B$ (for all A) when dim B = 1.
- Replacing A with $A \otimes B$ modifies the braiding in an understandable way (because the overcrossing and undercrossing labelled by B differ by a scalar).
- In many cases generalized Kirby-Melvin symmetry says that A and A ⊗ B have the same image in the "unimodularized" category (where we first change the pivotal structure and then modularize). This is only true if you're very careful about the choice of root of q used in defining the braiding.

イロト イポト イラト イラト

Level-Rank duality Kirby-Melvin symmetry Coincidences of Dynkin diagrams

Coincidences of Dynkin diagrams

• $D_2 = A_1 \times A_1$, hence $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_4) \cong U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \boxtimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

•
$$D_3 = A_3$$
, hence $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_6) \cong U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_4)$.

• D_4 has a triality automorphism, hence $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_8)$ has a triality automorphism.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Motivation and overview}\\ \mbox{Recognizing braided categories via $X \otimes X$}\\ \mbox{Sources of coincidences}\\ \mbox{Examples and applications} \end{array}$

*G*₂ and unitarity Knot polynomial identities

Outline

- Motivation and overview
 - Goal and motivation
 - The quantum subgroup D_{2n} and its structure
 - Coincidences involving D_{2n}
- 2 Recognizing braided categories via $X \otimes X$
 - *P* \otimes *P*
 - Recognizing categories by $X \otimes X$
 - More on G_2
- 3 Sources of coincidences
 - Level-Rank duality
 - Kirby-Melvin symmetry
 - Coincidences of Dynkin diagrams
- 4 Examples and applications
 - G₂ and unitarity
 - Knot polynomial identities

< □ > < 向 >

 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Motivation and overview}\\ \mbox{Recognizing braided categories via X \otimes X $Sources of coincidences $$Examples and applications $$$

G₂ and unitarity Knot polynomial identities

Unitary of $(G_2)_{\frac{1}{3}}$

Rowell showed that $(G_2)_{\frac{1}{3}}$ and $(G_2)_{\frac{2}{3}}$ are pseudo-unitary, and asked if they were unitary.

But
$$D_{14} \cong (G_2)_{\frac{1}{3}}$$
, and the former is unitary.

We expect that similar techniques would show that $(G_2)_{\frac{2}{3}}$ agrees with a subcategory of $(Sp_6)_3$, and thus is unitary.

 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Motivation and overview}\\ \mbox{Recognizing braided categories via X \otimes X $Sources of coincidences $$Examples and applications $$$

G₂ and unitarity Knot polynomial identities



Figure: The positive Weyl chamber for G_2 , showing the surviving irreducible representations in the semisimple quotient at $q = e^{2\pi i \frac{23}{26}}$, and the correspondence with the even vertices of \mathcal{D}_{14} .

 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Motivation and overview}\\ \mbox{Recognizing braided categories via X \otimes X \otimes X $Sources of coincidences $$Examples and applications $$$

G₂ and unitarity Knot polynomial identities

Knot polynomial identities

Knot invariants from P

- The usual Reshetikhin-Turaev technique gives knot invariants coming from *P*.
- Any closed diagram involving a single S is zero.
- If K is a knot (but not a link!) then

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{J}_{SU(2),(2n-2)}(K)(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{8n-4}})=\mathcal{J}_{D_{2n},P}(K).$$

• So coincidences involving D_{2n} give identities relating colored Jones polynomials to other knot polynomials.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 >

G₂ and unitarity Knot polynomial identities

Theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{SU(2),(2)}(K)_{|q=e^{\frac{2\pi i}{12}}} &= 2\mathcal{J}_{D_4,P}(K) \\ &= 2 \\ \mathcal{J}_{SU(2),(4)}(K)_{|q=e^{\frac{2\pi i}{20}}} &= 2\mathcal{J}_{D_6,P}(K) \\ &= 2\mathcal{J}_{SU(2),(1)}(K)_{|q=\exp(-\frac{2\pi i}{10})} \\ \mathcal{J}_{SU(2),(6)}(K)_{|q=e^{\frac{2\pi i}{28}}} &= 2\mathcal{J}_{D_8,P}(K) \\ &= 2 \operatorname{HOMFLYPT}(K)(q^4, q - q^{-1})_{|q=e^{\frac{-2\pi i}{14}}} \\ \mathcal{J}_{SU(2),(8)}(K)_{|q=e^{\frac{2\pi i}{36}}} &= 2\mathcal{J}_{D_{10},P}(K) \\ &= 2 \operatorname{Kauffman}(K)(-iq^7, i(q - q^{-1}))_{|q=-e^{\frac{-2\pi i}{18}}} \end{aligned}$$

200

G₂ and unitarity Knot polynomial identities

The D_8 -SL₄ coincidence



æ

G₂ and unitarity Knot polynomial identities

Theorem

$$\mathcal{J}_{SU(2),(6)}(K)_{|q=\exp(\frac{2\pi i}{28})} = 2\mathcal{J}_{SU(4),(1,0,0)}(K)_{|q=\exp(-\frac{2\pi i}{14})}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{SU(2),(6)}(K)(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{28}}) &= 2\mathcal{J}_{D_8,P}(K) \\ &= 2\mathcal{J}_{SO(6),2e_3}(K)(-e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{14}}) \qquad (LR) \\ &= 2\mathcal{J}_{SU(4),2e_1}(K)(-e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{14}}) \qquad (D_3 = A_3) \\ &= -2\mathcal{J}_{SU(4),e_1}(K)(-e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{14}}) \qquad (KM) \\ &= 2\mathcal{J}_{SU(4),e_1}(K)(e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{14}}) \qquad (parity) \end{aligned}$$

<ロ> <同> <同> < 同> < 同>

æ