## 4 Review of 'calculus'

- Let $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. The support of $\phi$ is the closure of the set where $\phi(x) \neq 0$. If the support of $\phi$ is compact then we say that $\phi$ is compactly supported.
- There exist functions $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi(x)=1$ for $|x| \leq 1, \phi$ is $C^{\infty}$, and $\phi$ is compactly supported. The set of compactly supported, smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is denoted $C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
- $L^{p}$ norms. The $L^{p}$ norm, $p \geq 1$, of a measurable function $f$ on a measurable set $E$ is defined to be

$$
\|f\|_{L^{p}(E)}:=\left(\int_{E}|f(x)|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} .
$$

It is a norm (homogeneous, nonnegative, obeys triangle inequality) provided we identify functions which differ on a set of measure zero. The normed space of (equivalence classes of) functions with finite $L^{p}$ norm is denoted $L^{p}(E)$. A very important property is that $L^{p}(E)$ is complete; we will prove this later in the course. We also define $L^{\infty}(E)$ to be the set of essentially bounded (equivalence classes of) functions, i.e. those for which

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{L^{\infty}(E)}:= & \sup \{M \mid \text { the set }\{x||f(x)|>M\} \\
& \text { has positive measure. }\}
\end{aligned}
$$

is finite. This is also a complete normed space

- If $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and compactly supported, then it is in $L^{p}$ for every $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.
- Hölder's inequality: if $p^{-1}+q^{-1}=1$,

$$
\left|\int_{E} f(x) g(x) d x\right| \leq\|f\|_{L^{p}(E)}\|g\|_{L^{q}(E)}
$$

To prove Hölder's inequality, we begin with Jensen's inequality (stating that secants of convex functions stay above the function) for the function $x \mapsto b^{x}$, obtaining

$$
b \leq \frac{1}{p}+\frac{b^{q}}{q} .
$$

Next, we take advantage of the fact that this inequality holds for all $b$, but the different terms scale differently in $b$. (You should read Terry Tao's blog post 'Amplification, arbitrage, and the tensor product trick'! In particular, replacing $b$ with $a^{1-p} b$ and rearranging we obtain Young's inequality

$$
a b \leq \frac{a^{p}}{p}+\frac{b^{q}}{q}
$$
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From this, Hölder's inequality follows easily - first prove it for functions with $\|f\|_{p}=1$ and $\|g\|_{q}=1$.

- Dominated convergence theorem. (SS Chapter 2 Theorem 1.13).

Let $f_{n}$ be a sequence of functions in $L^{1}(E)$ converging pointwise a.e. to $f$. Suppose that $\left|f_{n}(x)\right| \leq g(x)$ for a fixed $L^{1}$ function $g$. Then

$$
\int_{E} f_{n} \rightarrow \int_{E} f
$$

Sketch: Consider the sets $E_{N}$ on which $|x| \leq N$ and $|g(x)| \leq N$. Eventually, every point is in some $E_{n}$, and so by the monotone convergence theorem $\int_{E_{N}^{c}} g$ becomes arbitrarily small. Estimate $\int_{E}\left|f_{n}-f\right|$ as the sum of the integral on one of these sets and the integral on the complement; use the bounded convergence theorem on the first integral and $\left|f_{n}-f\right| \leq 2 g$ on the second. $\square$

The bounded convergence theorem is now a special case of the dominated convergence theorem, but of course one needs to prove it first!

The bounded convergence theorem follows easily from Egorov's theorem (SS Chapter 1 Theorem 4.4) which says that any pointwise limit of functions actually converges uniformly, off some arbitrarily small open set.

Sketch: [Egorov] Define

$$
E_{k}^{n}=\left\{x \in E| | f_{j}(x)-f(x) \mid<1 / n \text { for all } j>k\right\} .
$$

Choose $k_{n}$ large enough that $m\left(E-E_{k_{n}}^{n}\right)<2^{-n}$. Let $\tilde{A}$ be the intersection of some tail of the sets $\left\{E_{k_{n}}^{n}\right\}$, choosing the tail so that $\tilde{A}$ has almost full measure. Finally let $A$ be a closed subset of $\tilde{A}$, omitting only an small set.

- Fubini-Tonelli theorem (in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ):

Theorem 4.1.
(i) Suppose that $f: \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is nonnegative and measurable. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} f & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} f(x, y) d y\right) d x  \tag{4.1}\\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x, y) d x\right) d y
\end{align*}
$$

Note: this is an equality in extended real numbers: the left hand side might be $+\infty$, but this happens if and only if the right hand side is also $+\infty$
(ii) Suppose that $f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}\right)$. Then (4.1) holds.
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- Differentiating under the integral sign:

Proposition 4.5. Suppose that $U$ is an open set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, E$ is a measurable set in $\mathbb{R}^{k}, f: U \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function so that
(i) $f(x, \cdot): E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable for each $x \in U$,
(ii) $\partial_{x_{i}} f(x, y)$ exists and is continuous for all $(x, y)$ and
(iii) (the crucial condition)

$$
\left|\partial_{x_{i}} f(x, y)\right| \leq g(y) \text { for some } g \in L^{1}(E) \text {. }
$$

Then

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \int_{E} f(x, y) d y=\int_{E} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}(x, y) d y
$$

Proof: (sketch) The LHS is, for a fixed $x$,

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{E} \frac{f\left(x+h e_{i}, y\right)-f(x, y)}{h} d y .
$$

Use (ii) and the mean value theorem to write the integrand as $\partial_{x_{i}} f\left(x+\theta(h) e_{i}, y\right)$ for some $0 \leq$ $\theta(h) \leq h$ and conclude that it is pointwise bounded by $g(y)$. Then by the dominated convergence theorem, we can take the pointwise limit inside the integral. This is just $\partial_{x_{i}} f(x, y)$ using (ii) again, which gives us the RHS.

- Change of variable formula:

Theorem 4.6. Let $R \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a rectangle, and $F: R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ a $C^{1}$ function. Then for every continuous function $f$ defined on $F(R)$, we have the change of variable formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{F(R)} f(y) d y=\int_{R}(f \circ F)(x)|\operatorname{det} D F(x)| d x . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We sometimes write this differently: we think of $F$ as relating two different sets of coordinates, the $y$ coordinates on $F(R)$ and the $x$ coordinates on $R$. We sometimes write $y=y(x)$ instead of $y=F(x)$. Also, the Jacobian matrix $D F$ is sometimes written $\partial y / \partial x$. So we have

$$
\int_{F(R)} f(y) d y=\int_{R} f(y(x))\left|\operatorname{det} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}\right| d x .
$$

- Surface measure. Let $S$ be a hypersurface given by the graph of a $C^{1}$ function:

$$
S=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mid x_{n}=u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)\right\},
$$

$$
u \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\right)
$$

Then, in terms of the coordinates $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)$ on $S$, surface measure on $S$ is defined to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \sigma=\sqrt{1+\left|\nabla u\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}} d x^{\prime}, \quad x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 4.7. The measure $d \sigma$ on $S$ is invariant under a Euclidean change of coordinates. That is, suppose that $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ are another set of Euclidean coordinates. This means that there is an orthonormal basis $e_{i}^{\prime}$ such that $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ represents the point $\sum_{i} y_{i} e_{i}^{\prime}$. If $S$ can also be written as a graph in the $y$ coordinates,

$$
S=\left\{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \mid y_{n}=v\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n-1}\right)\right\}, \quad v \in C^{1},
$$

then we have

$$
d \sigma=\sqrt{1+\left|\nabla v\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}} d y^{\prime}, \quad y^{\prime}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}\right)
$$

The key to proving this proposition is showing that, if the $y^{\prime}$ coordinates on $S$ are given in terms of $x^{\prime}$ by $y^{\prime}=F\left(x^{\prime}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} D F\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{\sqrt{1+\left|\nabla u\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}}}{\sqrt{1+\left|\nabla v\left(y_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}}}, \quad y_{0}^{\prime}=F\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then use Theorem 4.6.
The identity (4.4) can be proved by considering two Euclidean sets of coordinates $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ and $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. Change to $\tilde{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}, Y_{n}\right)$ and $\tilde{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, X_{n}\right)$ where $Y_{n}=y_{n}-v\left(y^{\prime}\right), X_{n}=x_{n}-u\left(x^{\prime}\right)$. Then show that, on the surface,

$$
\operatorname{det} \frac{\partial y^{\prime}}{\partial x^{\prime}}=\left(\frac{\partial Y_{n}}{\partial X_{n}}\right)^{-1}
$$

This can be computed explicitly, to be equal to

$$
\frac{\sqrt{1+\left|\nabla u\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}}}{\sqrt{1+\left|\nabla v\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}}} .
$$

- The result above allows us to define surface measure for any $C^{1}$ hypersurface, not just a graph.
- Integration by parts: the following result will be adequate for now; it is possible to weaken the assumptions.


## Proposition 4.8.

(i) Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a bounded domain with $C^{1}$ boundary. Then if $f, g \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(f \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{i}}+g \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}\right) d x=\int_{\partial \Omega} f g n_{i} d \sigma
$$

where $n_{i}=n \cdot e_{i}$ is the ith component of the outward pointing normal vector $n$ and $\sigma$ is surface measure on $\partial \Omega$.
(ii) Assume that $f, g$ are $C^{1}$ functions on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, such that $f, \partial_{x_{i}} f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, while $g, \partial_{x_{i}} g \in L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, with $p^{-1}+q^{-1}=1$. Then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{i}} d x=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} d x
$$

Notice that $d x^{\prime}=\left(n \cdot e_{n}\right) d \sigma$ in the notation of (4.3), where $n$ is the upward pointing unit normal to $S$.

