

Home » About us » News » Scott Morrison awarded the 2015 Christopher Heyde Medal in pure mathematics

Scott Morrison awarded the 2015 Christopher Heyde Medal in pure mathematics

Friday 7 November 2014

MSI wish to congratulate Dr Scott Morrison on being jointly awarded the 2015 Christopher Heyde Medal by the Council of the Australian Academy of Science. Scott will share his medal with Dr Catherine Greenhill from The University of Western Australia.

The Christopher Heyde Medal honours the contributions to mathematics by Professor Christopher Charles Heyde AM, DSc, Hon DSc(Syd), FAA, FASSA. Professor Heyde was the Foundation Dean of the School of Mathematical Sciences at the Australian National University, and Professor Emeritus of Statistics at Columbia University, New York.



The award presentation ceremony will be held on the 27th of May 2015 at the Shine Dome in Canberra, as part of the Academy's annual three-day event Science at the Shine Dome (SATS) held from 26 – 28 May. Scott will be invited to give a short talk on your research after the presentation of your medal.

For more information about the Christopher Heyde Medal in pure mathematics please visit the Australian Academy of Science website.

Australian National University MATH3325 A 10 E	Course Evalua nalysis 3 Honc Enrolments; 5 Re	tion ours, Sem 2 2013 esponses				
Overall indicators						
Experience of learning:			+	av.=4.3 dev.=0.7		
Experience of learning:						
1. I had a clear idea of what was expected of me in this course	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=5 av.=3.8 dev.=0.8		
2. The teaching and learning activities (eg. lectures, tutorials, field trips) supported my learning	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=5 av.=3.8 dev.=0.8		
3. I had ready access to the learning opportunities provided in this course (eg. course notes, online materials, library resources, field trips)	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=5 av.=4.8 dev.=0.4		
4. The assessment seemed appropriate given the goals of the course	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=5 av.=4.4 dev.=0.5		
5. The feedback I received during the course supported my learning	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=5 av.=4.6 dev.=0.5		
6. Overall, I was satisfied with my learning experience in this course	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=5 av.=4.2 dev.=0.8		
Australian National University Australian National University Scott Morrison, Sem 2 2013 10 Enrolments; 5 Responses						
National Scott University 10 E	t Morrison, Sen Enrolments; 5 Re	n 2 2013 sponses				
National Scott University 10 E Overall indicators	t Morrison, Sen Enrolments; 5 Re	n 2 2013 sponses				
National Scott 10 E Overall indicators Experience of lecturing:	t Morrison, Sen Enrolments; 5 Re	n 2 2013 esponses	•	av.=4.1 dev.=1		
National Scott 10 E Overall indicators Experience of lecturing: Experience of lecturing:	t Morrison, Sen Enrolments; 5 Re	n 2 2013 esponses	•	av.=4.1 dev.=1		
National University Scott 10 E Overall indicators Experience of lecturing: Experience of lecturing: 1. The lecturer taught in a way that supported my learning	t Morrison, Sen Enrolments; 5 Re	$\frac{1}{1} = \frac{2}{2} = \frac{3}{4} = \frac{5}{5}$	+ Strongly Agree	av.=4.1 dev.=1 n=5 av.=4 dev.=0.7		
National University Scott 10 E Overall indicators Experience of lecturing: Experience of lecturing: 1. The lecturer taught in a way that supported my learning 2. The lecturer stimulated my interest in the subject	t Morrison, Sen Enrolments; 5 Re Strongly Disagree	n 2 2013 esponses 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 0% - 0% - 20% - 60% - 20% 0% - 0% - 20% - 60% - 20% 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 0% - 0% - 20% - 40% - 40% 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5	+ Strongly Agree Strongly Agree	av.=4.1 dev.=1 n=5 av.=4 dev.=0.7 n=5 av.=4.2 dev.=0.8		
National University Scott 10 E Overall indicators Experience of lecturing: Experience of lecturing: 1. The lecturer taught in a way that supported my learning 2. The lecturer stimulated my interest in the subject 3. The lecturer effectively used illustrations and examples	t Morrison, Sen Enrolments; 5 Re Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree	n 2 2013 esponses 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5	+ Strongly Agree Strongly Agree Strongly Agree Strongly Agree	av.=4.1 dev.=1 n=5 av.=4 dev.=0.7 n=5 av.=4.2 dev.=0.8 n=5 av.=3.8 dev.=0.8		
Scott 10 E Overall indicators Experience of lecturing: Experience of lecturing: 1. The lecturer taught in a way that supported my learning 2. The lecturer stimulated my interest in the subject 3. The lecturer effectively used illustrations and examples 4. The presentation of lectures was at a suitable pace to assist my learning	t Morrison, Sen Enrolments; 5 Re Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree	n 2 2013 esponses 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5	+ Strongly Agree Strongly Agree Strongly Agree Strongly Agree Strongly Agree	av.=4.1 dev.=1 n=5 av.=4 dev.=0.7 n=5 av.=4.2 dev.=0.8 n=5 av.=3.8 dev.=0.8		
Scott 10 E Overall indicators Experience of lecturing: Experience of lecturing: 1. The lecturer taught in a way that supported my learning 2. The lecturer stimulated my interest in the subject 3. The lecturer effectively used illustrations and examples 4. The presentation of lectures was at a suitable pace to assist my learning 5. I had sufficient feedback during the course to be able to assess my progress	t Morrison, Sen Enrolments; 5 Re Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree	n 2 2013 sponses 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5	+ Strongly Agree	av.=4.1 dev.=1 n=5 av.=4 dev.=0.7 n=5 av.=4.2 dev.=0.8 n=5 av.=3.8 dev.=0.8 n=5 av.=3.6 dev.=1.5		
Scotting Overall indicators Experience of lecturing: Experience of lecturing: 1. The lecturer taught in a way that supported my learning 2. The lecturer stimulated my interest in the subject 3. The lecturer effectively used illustrations and examples 4. The presentation of lectures was at a suitable pace to assist my learning 5. I had sufficient feedback during the course to be able to assess my progress 6. The lecturer actively encouraged student questions and participation	t Morrison, Sen Enrolments; 5 Re Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree	n 2 2013 sponses 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 0% - 0% - 20% - 60% - 20% 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 0% - 0% - 20% - 60% - 20% 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 0% - 0% - 0% - 20% - 60% 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 0% - 0% - 0% - 20% - 60% 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 0% - 0% - 20% - 20% - 60% 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 0% - 0% - 20% - 20% - 60% 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 0% - 0% - 20% - 20% - 60% 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 0% - 0% - 20% - 20% - 60%	* Strongly Agree Strongly Agree	av.=4.1 dev.=1 n=5 av.=4 dev.=0.7 n=5 av.=4.2 dev.=0.8 n=5 av.=3.8 dev.=0.8 n=5 av.=3.6 dev.=1.5 n=5 av.=4.4 dev.=0.9		

Australian National University

Course Evaluation MATH3325 Analysis 3 Honours, Sem 2 2014 14 Enrolments; 5 Responses

Overall indicators				
Experience of learning:			*	av.=4.3 dev.=0.9
Experience of learning:				
1. I had a clear idea of what was expected of me in this course	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=5 av.=4 dev.=1.2
2. The teaching and learning activities (eg. lectures, tutorials, field trips) supported my learning	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=5 av.=4.2 dev.=0.8
3. I had ready access to the learning opportunities provided in this course (eg. course notes, online materials, library resources, field trips)	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=5 av.=4.4 dev.=0.9
4. The assessment seemed appropriate given the goals of the course	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=5 av.=4.4 dev.=0.9
5. The feedback I received during the course supported my learning	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=5 av.=4.2 dev.=0.8
6. Overall, I was satisfied with my learning experience in this course	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=5 av.=4.4 dev.=0.9
Australian MATH National Scot University 14 E	13325 Analysis 3 H t Morrison, Sem Enrolments; 5 Resp	onours, 2 2014 ponses		
Overall indicators				
Overall indicators				
Experience of lecturing:			+	av.=4.2 dev.=0.8
Experience of lecturing: Experience of lecturing:			+	av.=4.2 dev.=0.8
Experience of lecturing: Experience of lecturing: 1. The lecturer taught in a way that supported my learning	- Strongly Disagree		+ Strongly Agree	av.=4.2 dev.=0.8 n=5 av.=4.4 dev.=0.5
Experience of lecturing: Experience of lecturing: The lecturer taught in a way that supported my learning The lecturer stimulated my interest in the subject	Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	+ Strongly Agree Strongly Agree	av.=4.2 dev.=0.8 n=5 av.=4.4 dev.=0.5 n=5 av.=4 dev.=1
Experience of lecturing: Experience of lecturing: The lecturer taught in a way that supported my learning The lecturer stimulated my interest in the subject The lecturer effectively used illustrations and examples	Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	+ Strongly Agree Strongly Agree Strongly Agree Strongly Agree	av.=4.2 dev.=0.8 n=5 av.=4.4 dev.=0.5
Experience of lecturing: Experience of lecturing: 1. The lecturer taught in a way that supported my learning 2. The lecturer stimulated my interest in the subject 3. The lecturer effectively used illustrations and examples 4. The presentation of lectures was at a suitable pace to assist my learning	Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	+ Strongly Agree Strongly Agree Strongly Agree Strongly Agree Strongly Agree	av.=4.2 dev.=0.8 n=5 av.=4.4 dev.=0.5 n=5 av.=4 dev.=1 n=5 av.=4 dev.=1
Experience of lecturing: Experience of lecturing: 1. The lecturer taught in a way that supported my learning 2. The lecturer stimulated my interest in the subject 3. The lecturer effectively used illustrations and examples 4. The presentation of lectures was at a suitable pace to assist my learning 5. I had sufficient feedback during the course to be able to assess my progress	Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	+ Strongly Agree Strongly Agree Strongly Agree Strongly Agree Strongly Agree Strongly Agree	av.=4.2 dev.=0.8
Experience of lecturing: Experience of lecturing: 1. The lecturer taught in a way that supported my learning 2. The lecturer stimulated my interest in the subject 3. The lecturer effectively used illustrations and examples 4. The presentation of lectures was at a suitable pace to assist my learning 5. I had sufficient feedback during the course to be able to assess my progress 6. The lecturer actively encouraged student questions and participation	Strongly Disagree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	+ Strongly Agree	av.=4.2 dev.=0.8 n=5 av.=4.4 dev.=0.5 n=5 av.=4 dev.=1 n=5 av.=4 dev.=1 n=5 av.=4.2 dev.=0.8 n=5 av.=4.4 dev.=0.5

2 3 4

5

1



Users

Who are the moderators?

We believe moderation starts with the community itself, so in addition to privileges earned through reputation, we periodically hold democratic moderator elections.

Please see A Theory of Moderation for information on our moderation philosophy.

Type to find users:













reputation

new users





editors

voters

moderators

Mariano Suárez-Alvarez ♦ 32.1k • 11 • 90 • 192 elected 2013

Scott Morrison - Citation comparisons with Go8 mathematicians

As citation statistics vary significantly across fields, I have compared h-indices with pure mathematicians at other Go8 universities, in order to make an explicit comparison. This comparison uses the citation statistics from MathSciNet, looking at papers since 1996.

In these comparisons, I attempted to remove all scientists who reside in a mathematics department but primarily publish in other fields. Further, I have dropped all those with a reported h-index of 0.

My h-index of 9 would be the (equal) 6th highest h-index amongst Level D Go8 pure mathematicians, and even exceeds the median Level E Go8 h-index of 8.

Restricting to papers since 2008, my h-index of 8 exceeds all Level D Go8 pure mathematicians, and would be the (equal) 5th highest of all Go8 pure mathematicians in Australia.



(a) All Level D Go8 mathematics h-indices since 1996

(b) All Go8 mathematics h-indices since 2008