

## 10. SPECTRAL THEORY

For operators on finite dimensional vectors spaces, we can often find a basis of eigenvectors (which we use to diagonalize the matrix). If the operator is symmetric, this is always possible. In any case, we can always find a basis of generalized eigenvectors (which we can use to write the matrix in Jordan canonical form).

The goal of this section is to prove the appropriate generalization of diagonalization for arbitrary bounded self-adjoint operators on Hilbert space. Unlike the case for compact operators, there are generally ‘not enough’ eigenvectors. We will define the *spectrum*  $\sigma(T)$  of an operator  $T$ , which contains the set of eigenvalues but can be larger, and then the *spectral resolution* which describes an increasing sequence of subspaces on which  $T$  acts in a particularly simple way.

You may like to read some alternative sources for this material. Fortunately there are many good sources!

- Gilbert Helmsberg's book 'Introduction to Spectral Theory in Hilbert Space'.
- John Erdos's notes on 'Operators on Hilbert Space', available at <http://www.mth.kcl.ac.uk/~jerdos/OpTh/FP.htm>.
- Dana Mendelson and Alexandre Tomberg's article in the McGill Undergraduate Mathematics Journal, available at <http://www.math.mcgill.ca/jakobson/courses/ma667/mendelsontomberg-spectral.pdf>.
- Chapter 6.6 of Stein & Shakarchi vol. III.
- Michael Taylor's notes on 'The Spectral Theorem for Self-Adjoint and Unitary Operators', available at <http://www.unc.edu/math/Faculty/met/specthm.pdf>.

## 10.1. The spectrum of an operator.

**Definition 10.1.** The *spectrum* of an operator  $T$  is  $\sigma(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid T - \lambda I \text{ is not invertible with bounded inverse}\}$

In finite dimensions the spectrum is just the set of eigenvalues. The operator  $T - \lambda I$  can fail to be invertible in several ways. First, if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue, then the operator is not one-to-one. Even if the operator is one-to-one, its range may not be all of  $\mathcal{H}$ . (This phenomenon does not occur in finite dimensions.) The standard example is the shift operator on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ .

Later, we'll see that omitting the phrase 'with bounded inverse' does not change anything; invertible bounded linear operators automatically have bounded inverses.

The complement of the spectrum is often referred to as the 'resolvent set', and the operator  $(T - \lambda I)^{-1}$  the 'resolvent at  $\lambda$ '.

**Lemma 10.2.** *If  $\|A\| < 1$  then  $I - A$  is invertible with bounded inverse  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A^k$ .*

*Proof.* One easily checks that the given series converges to some bounded operator. Now

$$I - (I - A) \sum_{k=0}^n A^k = A^{n+1}$$

with norm converging to 0. Thus  $(I - A) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A^k = I$ , and similarly  $(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A^k)(I - A) = I$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 10.3.** *If  $A$  is invertible and  $\|A - B\| < \|A^{-1}\|^{-1}$  then  $B$  is also invertible.*

*Proof.* Apply Lemma 10.2 to  $A^{-1}B = I - (I - A^{-1}B)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 10.4.** *The spectrum of an operator  $T$  is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $\lambda$  in the resolvent set of  $T$ , and  $\mu$  within  $\|(T - \lambda I)^{-1}\|^{-1}$  of  $\lambda$ . Then apply Lemma 10.3 with  $A = T - \lambda I$  and  $B = T - \mu I$ , so see that  $T - \mu I$  is also invertible so  $\mu$  is in the resolvent set of  $T$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 10.5.** *If  $\|Af\|, \|A^*f\| \geq c\|f\|$  for some constant  $c$ , then  $A$  has a bounded inverse.*

*Proof.* The inequality shows that  $A$  and  $A^*$  are injective. Moreover,  $A$  has closed range, since if  $f_n = Ag_n$  converge to some  $f$ , by the estimate the  $g_n$  converge to some  $g$  and then  $f = Ag$ . The range must be all of  $\mathcal{H}$ : if  $z \perp \text{range } A$  then

$$0 = \langle z, Aw \rangle = \langle A^*z, w \rangle$$

for all  $w$ , and since  $A^*$  is injective,  $z = 0$ . Thus the operator  $A$  has an inverse, and that inverse is bounded, by the inequality.  $\square$

**Lemma 10.6.** *The spectrum of a self-adjoint linear operator  $T$  is contained in the real line.*

*Proof.* We want to construct a bounded inverse for  $T - (x + iy)I$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \neq y \in \mathbb{R}$ . It suffices to do this for  $T - iI$ . We calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\|(T - iI)f\|^2 &= \langle (T - iI)f, (T - iI)f \rangle \\ &= \|Tf\|^2 + \langle Tf, -iIf \rangle + \langle -iIf, Tf \rangle + \|f\|^2 \\ &\geq \|f\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

The same argument applies to  $(T - iI)^* = T + iI$ , and so by Lemma 10.5  $T - iI$  has a bounded inverse.  $\square$

(A similar argument shows that the spectrum of a unitary operator is contained in the unit circle in the complex plane.)

**Lemma 10.7.** *The spectrum of a bounded linear operator  $T$  is compact, and in particular bounded by its norm:*

$$\sigma(T) \subset B_{\|T\|}(0).$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $|\lambda| > \|T\|$ . Then  $I - \lambda^{-1}T$  is invertible with a bounded inverse by Lemma 10.2, and hence  $T - \lambda I$  also has a bounded inverse so  $\lambda$  is in the resolvent set. This shows that the spectrum is a bounded set, and combined with Lemma 10.4 and the Heine-Borel theorem, we see that the spectrum is compact.  $\square$

**Theorem 10.8.** *The norm of a bounded self-adjoint linear operator  $T$  is the largest absolute value of a point in the spectrum:*

$$\|T\| = \max_{\lambda \in \sigma(T)} |\lambda|.$$

*Proof.* Define

$$\alpha = \inf_{\|f\|=1} \langle Tf, f \rangle \quad \beta = \sup_{\|f\|=1} \langle Tf, f \rangle.$$

Observe that  $\|T\| = \max\{|\alpha|, |\beta|\}$ , and since  $\beta \geq \alpha$ , in fact

$$\|T\| = \max\{-\alpha, \beta\}.$$

We'll first show that  $\sigma(T) \subset [\alpha, \beta]$ , and then that  $\alpha, \beta \in \sigma(T)$ .

If  $\lambda \notin [\alpha, \beta]$ , then another application of Lemma 10.5 shows that  $T - \lambda I$  has bounded inverse, so  $\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$ . In order to apply that Lemma, we need to show  $T - \lambda I$  (which is self-adjoint) is bounded below. Pick a unit vector  $f$ , and compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T - \lambda I)f\|^2 &= \|(T - \langle Tf, f \rangle)f - (\langle Tf, f \rangle - \lambda I)f\|^2 \\ &= \|(T - \langle Tf, f \rangle)f\|^2 + |\langle Tf, f \rangle - \lambda|^2 \end{aligned}$$



since the cross terms vanish, because  $\langle (T - \langle Tf, f \rangle)f, f \rangle = 0$ , and then

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq |\langle Tf, f \rangle - \lambda|^2 \\ &\geq \text{dist}(\lambda, [\alpha, \beta])^2. \end{aligned}$$

We next want to show that  $\alpha, \beta \in \sigma(T)$ .

Suppose first that  $\|T\| = \beta$ . We choose unit vectors  $f_n$  so  $\langle Tf_n, f_n \rangle \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta$ . In fact, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T - \beta I)f_n\|^2 &\leq \|T\|^2 - 2\beta\langle Tf_n, f_n \rangle + \beta^2 \\ &= 2\|T\|(\|T\| - \langle Tf_n, f_n \rangle) \\ &\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Observe this makes it impossible for  $T - \beta I$  to have a bounded inverse, as if it did we could compute

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \|f_n\|^2 \\ &= \|(T - \beta I)^{-1}(T - \beta I)f_n\|^2 \\ &\leq \|(T - \beta I)^{-1}\|^2 \|(T - \beta I)f_n\|^2 \\ &\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\beta \in \sigma(T)$ .

Next consider the operator  $S = \beta I - T$ , which has

$$\alpha_S = \inf_{\|f\|=1} \langle (\beta I - T)f, f \rangle = 0$$

$$\beta_S = \sup_{\|f\|=1} \langle (\beta I - T)f, f \rangle = \beta - \alpha$$

and  $\|S\| = \beta - \alpha$ . Repeating the exact same argument as above, we see that  $\beta - \alpha \in \sigma(S)$ , which is equivalent to  $\alpha \in \sigma(T)$ , because both say that  $T - \alpha I$  does not have a bounded inverse.

□

Theorem 10.8 does not hold for non self-adjoint operators; the general statement for bounded linear operators is that the ‘spectral radius’ is equal to  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n\|^{1/n}$ . Consider the example in finite dimensions  $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

where  $\|T^n\| = \sqrt{n^2 + 1}$ .

**Theorem 10.9** (Spectral mapping theorem). *Let  $p$  be a polynomial. Then*

$$\sigma(p(T)) = p(\sigma(T)).$$

*Proof.* If  $p$  is constant, the result is trivial. Otherwise, take  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ , and observe that  $\lambda$  is a root of the polynomial  $p(x) - p(\lambda)$ , so we have

$$p(x) - p(\lambda) = (x - \lambda)q(x)$$

for some polynomial  $q$ , and hence

$$\begin{aligned} p(T) - p(\lambda)I &= (T - \lambda I)q(T) \\ &= q(T)(T - \lambda I). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $p(\lambda)$  can not be in the resolvent set of  $p(T)$ , for then  $q(T)(p(T) - p(\lambda)I)$  would give a bounded inverse for  $T - \lambda I$ . Thus  $p(\lambda) \in \sigma(p(T))$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\mu \in \sigma(p(T))$ . Factor  $p(x) - \mu = c \prod_i (x - x_i)$  into a product of linear factors, so we also have  $p(T) - \mu I = c \prod_i (T - x_i I)$ . If every  $x_i$  is in the resolvent set of  $T$ , this expression would be invertible, giving a contradiction. Thus some  $x_i \in \sigma(T)$ , and then  $p(x_i) - \mu = 0$ , giving the result.  $\square$

**Theorem 10.10.** *Suppose  $T$  is a bounded linear operator and  $p$  is a polynomial. Then*

$$\|p(T)\| = \max_{t \in \sigma(T)} |p(t)|.$$

*Proof.* We use Theorem 10.8 to see  $\|p(T)\| = \max_{\lambda \in \sigma(p(T))} |\lambda|$  and then the spectral mapping theorem (Theorem 10.9) to obtain the conclusion.  $\square$

10.2. **Positive operators.** We'll now introduce another class of linear operators, the positive operators.

**Definition 10.11.** A linear operator  $T$  is positive if  $\langle Tf, f \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $f$ .

**Lemma 10.12.** *A positive operator is necessarily self-adjoint.*

*Sketch.* Use the polarization identity

$$\langle Tf, g \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k \langle T(f + i^k g), f + i^k g \rangle$$

on both  $\langle Tf, g \rangle$  and  $\langle f, Tg \rangle = \overline{\langle Tf, g \rangle}$  and compare real and imaginary parts.  $\square$

In some sense all self-adjoint operators are 'not far from being positive', in the sense that  $T + \|T\|I$  is positive.

Positivity defines an order relation on self-adjoint operators; we say  $A \geq B$  if  $A - B \geq 0$ . One may readily check that this satisfies all the ‘obvious’ properties (throughout  $A$  and  $B$  are self-adjoint):

- $A \geq B$  and  $B \geq C$  implies  $A \geq C$ ,
- if  $\alpha$  is a positive real number and  $A \geq B$ , then  $\alpha A \geq \alpha B$ .
- if  $\alpha$  is a real number with  $\alpha \geq 1$ ,  $\alpha A \geq A$ ,
- if  $A \geq B$ , then  $-B \geq -A$ .

It’s not a total order, however. There positive operators  $A$  and  $B$  such that neither  $A \geq B$  nor  $B \geq A$ . (Consider  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .)

**Lemma 10.13.** *If  $A$  is a bounded positive operator,*

$$|\langle Af, g \rangle|^2 \leq \langle Af, f \rangle \langle Ag, g \rangle.$$

*Proof.* Any positive operator  $A$  lets us define a new sesquilinear form  $(f, g) = \langle Af, g \rangle$  which only fails to be an inner product because  $(f, f)$  may be 0 without  $f$  being 0. Applying the proof of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to this, we obtain the result.  $\square$

One can think of this result as a family of generalizations of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

**Lemma 10.14.** *If  $A$  is a bounded positive operator,  $\|Af\|^2 \leq \|A\|\langle Af, f \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* Apply Lemma 10.13 with  $g = Af$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\|Af\|^2 &= \langle Af, Af \rangle^2 \\ &\leq \langle Af, f \rangle \langle A^2 f, Af \rangle \\ &\leq \langle Af, f \rangle \langle A^2 f, A^2 f \rangle \|Af\| \\ &\leq \langle Af, f \rangle \langle A^2 f, A^2 f \rangle \|Af\|^2\end{aligned}$$

and finally cancel. □

**Theorem 10.15.** *An increasing sequence of self-adjoint operators  $\{A_n\}_n$ , each bounded by a fixed operator  $B$  (i.e  $B \geq A_n$ ), converges strongly to some operator  $A$ , also bounded by  $B$ .*

*Proof.* For any fixed vector  $f$ , we have

$$\langle A_n f, f \rangle \leq \langle A_{n+1} f, f \rangle \leq \langle B f, f \rangle$$

and hence  $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \langle (A_n - A_m) f, f \rangle = 0$ . By Lemma 10.14, we have

$$\|(A_n - A_m) f\|^2 \leq \|A_n - A_m\| \langle (A_n - A_m) f, f \rangle$$

and for  $m \geq n \geq 1$

$$\leq \|B - A_1\| \langle (A_n - A_m) f, f \rangle$$

so  $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \|(A_n - A_m) f\|^2 = 0$ , i.e  $\{A_n f\}_n$  is a Cauchy sequence. If we call its limit  $Af$ , it is easy to verify that this defines an operator  $A$ , which is bounded by  $B$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 10.16.** *A decreasing sequence of positive operators  $\{A_n\}_n$  converges strongly.*

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 10.15 to  $\{-A_n\}_n$ , which is bounded by 0.  $\square$



### 10.3. Continuous functional calculus.

Consider the  $*$ -algebra of bounded functions from  $\sigma(T)$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ . (The  $*$ -operation is complex conjugation.) We consider this algebra with the supremum norm. We'll be thinking about various sub- $*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{A}$ , for example the polynomial functions on  $\sigma(T)$  or the continuous functions on  $\sigma(T)$ .

**Definition 10.17.** A functional calculus for an operator  $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is a continuous  $*$ -homomorphism from some  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of functions  $\sigma(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  to  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ , sending the constant function 1 to the identity operator and sending the identity function  $x \mapsto x$  to  $T$ .

Recall here a homomorphism is a linear map which preserves multiplication, and a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi$  additionally satisfies  $\pi(\overline{f}) = \pi(f)^*$ . Saying that the homomorphism is continuous means that if  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in the supremum norm, then  $f_n(T) \rightarrow f(T)$  in the norm topology on  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ .

**Lemma 10.18.** *In a functional calculus for  $T$ , if  $f$  is real-valued then  $f(T)$  is self-adjoint.*

*Proof.* Use the assumption that the functional calculus is a  $*$ -homomorphism.  $\square$

We say that an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of functions  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  ‘has square roots’ if for each positive function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  there is a positive  $g \in \mathcal{A}$  so  $f = g^2$ . Observe that for  $X \subset \mathbb{C}$  the algebra of polynomials does not have square roots, but the algebra of continuous functions does.

**Lemma 10.19.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is some sub-algebra of functions which has square roots. In any functional calculus for  $T$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ , if  $f \geq 0$  then  $f(T)$  is a positive operator.*

*Proof.* We write  $f = g^2$  for some positive function  $g$ . Since  $g$  is real-valued,  $g(T) = g(T)^*$ , and so  $f(T) = g(T)g(T)^*$  is positive.  $\square$

**Theorem 10.20.** *A bounded self-adjoint operator  $T$  has a polynomial functional calculus.*

*Proof.* Given a polynomial  $p(x) = \sum a_i x^i$ , we define  $p(T) = \sum a_i T^i$ , and readily check that this is a homomorphism. We need to assume  $T$  is self-adjoint to ensure this is a  $*$ -homomorphism. It is continuous by Theorem 10.10, and the formula proved there:

$$\|p(T)\| = \max_{t \in \sigma(T)} |p(t)|.$$

□

**Theorem 10.21.** *A bounded self-adjoint operator  $T$  has a unique continuous functional calculus.*

*Proof.* Since  $T$  is self-adjoint the spectrum  $\sigma(T)$  is contained in  $\mathbb{R}$  by Lemma 10.6. Moreover since  $T$  is bounded the spectrum is contained in some closed interval. Thus every continuous function  $f$  on  $\sigma(T)$  is a uniform limit of polynomial functions  $f_n$ , and the polynomial functional calculus of Theorem 10.20 gives operators  $f_n(T)$ . By Theorem 10.10 the sequence  $f_n(T)$  converges in norm, and we must define  $f(T)$  to be this limit.

An easy argument shows this definition is independent of our choice of approximating sequence.

To verify that this defines a  $*$ -homomorphism we carefully choose approximating sequences. For example, if  $f$  is a uniform limit of polynomials  $f_n$  and  $g$  is a uniform limit of polynomials  $g_n$ , then  $fg$  is the uniform limit of the polynomials  $f_n g_n$  (here we use that the spectrum is compact), and so  $(fg)(T) = f(T)g(T)$ .  $\square$

We'll next build a bigger functional calculus, but one which is a little quirky: it's not defined on a subalgebra of  $C(\sigma(T))$ , but on a sub'rig'.

**Definition 10.22.** Let  $\mathcal{P}$  denote the set of positive functions on  $\sigma(T)$  which are decreasing pointwise limits of continuous functions.

It's clear that you can add and multiply such functions and remain in this class. However you can only multiply by non-negative scalars: multiplying by a negative scalar the new function would not be positive!

(In fact, we could characterize the functions in  $\mathcal{P}$  as the non-negative *upper semicontinuous functions*. A function is upper semicontinuous at  $x_0$  if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  so for  $x \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$ ,  $f(x) < f(x_0) + \epsilon$ . We won't pursue this here.)

When we say we have a functional calculus  $\pi$  defined on  $\mathcal{P}$  we mean that the property of a homomorphism  $\pi(\alpha f) = \alpha\pi(f)$  only has to hold for positive  $\alpha$ . (Finally, since the functions in  $\mathcal{P}$  are all positive, saying  $\pi$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism merely means that  $\pi(f)$  is self-adjoint for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ .)

**Lemma 10.23.** *Suppose  $f_n$  is a decreasing sequence of continuous functions on a compact set  $X$ , converging pointwise to some function  $f$ . Suppose  $g$  is some continuous function with  $f \leq g$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there is some  $n_0$  so  $f_n \leq g + \epsilon$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose otherwise. Then there is a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  witnessing this, i.e.  $f_n(x_n) > g + \epsilon$ . Pass to a convergent subsequence, converging to  $y$ .

Choose  $k$  so that  $f_k(y) < f(y) + \epsilon/4 \leq g(y) + \epsilon/4$ . Choose  $\delta > 0$  so that for  $x$  within  $\delta$  of  $y$ ,  $g(x)$  is within  $\epsilon/4$  of  $g(y)$  and  $f_k(x)$  is within  $\epsilon/4$  of  $f_k(y)$ . Then choose  $\ell \geq k$  so that  $x_\ell$  is within  $\delta$  of  $y$ .

Finally we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(y) &< g(y) + \epsilon/4 &< g(x_\ell) + 2\epsilon/4 \\ &< f_\ell(x_\ell) - 2\epsilon/4 &\leq f_k(x_\ell) - 2\epsilon/4 \\ &< f_k(y) - \epsilon/4 \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible.

□

**Theorem 10.24.** *A bounded self-adjoint operator  $T$  has a unique functional calculus on  $\mathcal{P}$ , such that if  $f_n$  is a decreasing sequence of continuous functions converging to  $f$ , then  $f_n(T)$  converges strongly to  $f(T)$ .*

(Note that we still insist that this functional calculus is continuous, i.e. if  $\{f_n\} \subset \mathcal{P}$  converges in the supremum norm to  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ , the operators  $f_n(T)$  converge in norm to  $f(T)$ .)

*Proof.* If  $f \in \mathcal{P}$  is the pointwise limit of continuous positive functions  $f_n$ , with  $f_{n+1} \leq f_n$ , then we define  $f(T)$  to be the limit, provided by Lemma 10.16 of decreasing positive operators  $f_n(T)$  provided by the continuous functional calculus of Theorem 10.21.

We first check that this is well-defined, that is, independent of our choice of approximating sequence. Let  $g_n$  be another such decreasing sequence of positive continuous functions, converging pointwise to  $f$ . We use Lemma 10.23 to see that for each fixed  $k$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is an  $n_0$  so that for all  $n \geq n_0$ ,  $f_n(x) \leq g_k(x) + \epsilon$  for every  $x \in \sigma(T)$ . Applying



these functions to  $T$ , we have

$$f_n(T) \leq g_k(T) + \epsilon$$

and taking  $n$  to infinity

$$\lim f_n(T) \leq g_k(T) + \epsilon$$

then  $k$  to infinity

$$\lim f_n(T) \leq \lim g_k(T) + \epsilon$$

and finally  $\epsilon$  to zero gives

$$\lim f_n(T) \leq \lim g_k(T).$$

However the reverse inequality holds equally well, so we see the limits must be the same.

Next we need to check this construction defines a  $*$ -homomorphism. This is quite easy; the hardest part is to check multiplicativity. We pick approximating sequences  $f_n$  for  $f$  and  $g_n$  for  $g$ , then use the approximating sequence  $f_n g_n$  for  $f g$ . We then need to see that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f_n g_n)(T)x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(T)g_n(T)x = f(T)g(T)x$$

for each vector  $x$ . This follows from

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f_n(T)g_n(T)x - f(T)g(T)x\| \\ & \leq \|f_n(T)(g_n(T) - g(T))x\| + \|(f_n(T) - f(T))g(T)x\| \\ & \leq \|f_1(T)\| \| (g_n(T) - g(T))x \| + \|(f_n(T) - f(T))g(T)x\| \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we need to check that our construction is continuous. Suppose  $f \leq g$ . Choose approximating sequences  $f_n$  for  $f$  and  $g_m$  for  $g$ . Again applying Lemma 10.23, for each  $m$  there is number  $n_0(m)$  for so all  $n \geq n_0(m)$

$$f_n(x) \leq g_m(x) + m^{-1}$$

and thus

$$f(T) \leq f_n(T) \leq g_m(T) + m^{-1}I.$$

Taking the pointwise limit, we see  $f(T) \leq g(T)$ . In fact, being order preserving in this way ensures continuity. Suppose  $f$  and  $g$  in  $\mathcal{P}$  are  $\epsilon$  apart in the supremum norm, i.e.  $f(x) \leq g(x) + \epsilon$  and  $g(x) \leq f(x) + \epsilon$ . Then we have  $f(T) \leq g(T) + \epsilon I$  and  $g(T) \leq f(T) + \epsilon I$ , from which it easy follows that  $f(T)$  and  $g(T)$  are within  $\epsilon$  in the operator norm.  $\square$

## 10.4. Spectral resolution.

**Definition 10.25.** A spectral resolution is a family  $\{E(\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$  of orthogonal projections, such that

- the projections are increasing, in the sense that  $E(\lambda) \geq E(\mu)$  if  $\lambda > \mu$ ,
- the family is right continuous, in the sense that for each  $f$ ,

$$\lim_{\substack{\lambda > \mu \\ \lambda \rightarrow \mu}} E(\lambda)f = E(\mu)f.$$

(This is convergence in the strong operator topology.)

Right continuity allows for the possibility that the projections  $E(\lambda)$  jump upwards in size as  $\lambda$  approaches  $\mu$  from below.

**Theorem 10.26.** *For a bounded self-adjoint operator  $T$ , the operators  $E(\lambda) = \chi_{(-\infty, \lambda]}(T)$  provided by the functional calculus of Theorem 10.24 form a spectral resolution.*

*Proof.* Since the functions  $\chi_{(-\infty, \lambda]}$  are real and satisfy  $f^2 = f$ , the operators  $\chi_{(-\infty, \lambda]}(T)$  are all orthogonal projections.

If  $\lambda > \mu$ ,  $E(\lambda) = E(\mu) + \chi_{(\mu, \lambda]}(T)$ , and this second term is itself an orthogonal projection, and hence positive. Thus  $E(\lambda) \geq E(\mu)$ .

To see the family is right continuous, we use the additional continuity for pointwise decreasing limits guaranteed by our functional calculus for  $\mathcal{P}$ . Each function  $\chi_{(-\infty, \lambda]}$  can be realized as the decreasing pointwise limit of continuous functions

$$\varphi_n^\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \leq \lambda \\ 1 - n(x - \lambda) & \text{if } x \in [\lambda, \lambda + n^{-1}] \\ 0 & \text{if } x \geq \lambda + n^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

Observe that even though  $\varphi_n^\lambda$  is not converging in the supremum norm to  $\chi_{(\mu, \lambda]}$ , if we fix an  $n$  then  $\varphi_n^\lambda$  converges uniformly to  $\varphi_n^\mu$  as  $\lambda$  goes to  $\mu$ .

Now take  $\lambda > \mu$ , and observe

$$\begin{aligned}\|E(\lambda)f - E(\mu)f\| &= \|E(\lambda)\varphi_n^\lambda(T)f - E(\lambda)E(\mu)f\| \\ &\leq \|\varphi_n^\lambda(T)f - E(\mu)f\| \\ &\leq \|\varphi_n^\lambda(T)f - \varphi_n^\mu(T)f\| + \|\varphi_n^\mu(T)f - E(\mu)f\|\end{aligned}$$

and then taking  $n$  sufficiently large (depending on  $f$ )

$$< \|\varphi_n^\lambda(T)f - \varphi_n^\mu(T)f\| + \epsilon/2$$

and then taking  $\lambda$  sufficiently close to  $\mu$  (depending on  $n$ )

$$< \epsilon.$$

□

**Theorem 10.27.** *For a bounded self-adjoint operator  $T$  and the spectral resolution  $E(\lambda)$  defined in Theorem 10.26, we have*

$$T = \int_{\sigma(T)} \lambda dE(\lambda)$$

*in the sense that for each  $f, g$*

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle = \int_{\sigma(T)} \lambda d\langle E(\lambda)x, y \rangle$$

*where the right hand side is the Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral for the function  $\langle E(\lambda)x, y \rangle$ . In fact, for any continuous function  $f$  on  $\sigma(T)$  the operator*

$f(T)$  provided by the continuous functional calculus of Theorem 10.21 is given by

$$f(T) = \int_{\sigma(T)} f(\lambda) dE(\lambda)$$

A remark: when we previously talked about Lebesgue-Stieltjes integrals, we only worked with non-decreasing functions. The function  $\langle E(\lambda)x, y \rangle$  generally isn't non-decreasing, but using polarisation we can write it as a linear combination of four non-decreasing functions

$$\langle E(\lambda)x, y \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k \langle E(\lambda)(x + i^k y), (x + i^k y) \rangle$$

and this is enough.

*Proof.* Consider a sequence  $\lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_k$  such that  $\sigma(T) \subset [\lambda_0, \lambda_k]$ , and suppose  $\lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_i < \delta$  for all  $i$ . Now on  $\sigma(T)$  we have  $x = x\chi_{(-\infty, \lambda_0]} + \sum_{j=1}^k x(\chi_{(-\infty, \lambda_j]} - \chi_{(-\infty, \lambda_{j-1}]})$  and so

$$x \leq \lambda_0 \chi_{(-\infty, \lambda_0]} + \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j (\chi_{(-\infty, \lambda_j]} - \chi_{(-\infty, \lambda_{j-1}]}) \leq x + \delta.$$

Applying these functions to  $T$ , using the functional calculus from Theorem 10.24, we obtain

$$T \leq \lambda_0 E(\lambda_0) + \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j (E(\lambda_j) - E(\lambda_{j-1})) \leq T + \delta I.$$

Thus  $T$  is within  $\delta$  of  $\lambda_0 E(\lambda_0) + \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j (E(\lambda_j) - E(\lambda_{j-1}))$  in the operator norm. The quantity  $\langle Tx, y \rangle$  is then within  $\delta \|x\| \|y\|$  of

$$\lambda_0 \langle E(\lambda_0)x, y \rangle + \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \langle (E(\lambda_j) - E(\lambda_{j-1}))x, y \rangle$$

which, as  $\delta$  goes to zero, approaches the Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral

$$\int_{\sigma(T)} \lambda d\langle E(\lambda)x, y \rangle.$$

To see the corresponding expression for an arbitrary continuous function  $f$ , we use uniform continuity to show that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  we can find a  $\delta > 0$  so that  $\lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_i < \delta$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) - \epsilon &\leq \lambda_0 \chi_{(-\infty, \lambda_0]} + \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j (\chi_{(-\infty, \lambda_j]} - \chi_{(-\infty, \lambda_{j-1}]}) \\ &\leq f(x) + \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

After that the argument is the same. □

**Question:** How does this compare with our analysis of the eigenvectors of compact operators?



10.5. **Borel functional calculus.** Now that we've obtained the spectral resolution, we can 'finish off' spectral theory for bounded self-adjoint operators by defining a functional calculus for all Borel measurable functions on the spectrum, subsuming each previous functional calculus.

**Theorem 10.28.** *A bounded self-adjoint operator  $T$  has a functional calculus for bounded Borel-measurable functions.*

*Proof.* Define  $f(T)$  for a Borel function  $f$  by

$$f(T) = \int_{\sigma(T)} f(\lambda) dE(\lambda).$$

Showing that this is continuous is not hard. Suppose  $\sup_{x \in \sigma(T)} |f(x)| < \epsilon$ . Then we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle f(T)x, y \rangle| &= \left| \int_{\sigma(T)} f(\lambda) d\langle E(\lambda)x, y \rangle \right| \\ &< \epsilon \int_{\sigma(T)} d\langle E(\lambda)x, y \rangle \\ &= \epsilon d\langle E(\lambda)x, y \rangle(\sigma(T)) \\ &= \epsilon(\langle E(\lambda_+)x, y \rangle - \langle E(\lambda_+)x, y \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda_{\pm}$  are the least greatest and least points in  $\sigma(T)$

$$= \epsilon \langle x, y \rangle$$

because  $E(\lambda_+)$  is the identity and  $E(\lambda_-)$  is zero. This shows  $f(T)$  has norm at most  $\epsilon$ .

With this in hand, showing that the Borel functional calculus is actually a  $*$ -homomorphism is easy. We check it first for simple functions, and then use continuity to pass up the chain to arbitrary bounded Borel-measurable functions.  $\square$

Providing a uniqueness statement for the Borel functional calculus would take us too far afield.

Finally, I want to sketch a fancier proof of both the spectral resolution and the Borel functional calculus. This entirely skips over our discussion of positive operators and the functional calculus for upper semi-continuous functions. We just need the continuous functional calculus!

*‘High-powered’ sketch of Theorems 10.27 and 10.28.*

We can think of the continuous functional calculus as giving us a family of linear functionals on the space

of continuous functions  $C(\sigma(T))$ . For each  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ , define  $\mu_{x,y}(f) = \langle f(T)x, y \rangle$ .

By another Riesz representation theorem which we will prove later when we study Banach spaces, every linear functional on the space of continuous functions (on a compact metric space, in particular  $\sigma(T)$  is fine) is actually realized by a complex measure. That is, there is a Borel measure  $\nu_{x,y}$  so that

$$\langle f(T)x, y \rangle = \int f \nu_{x,y}.$$

Next, we use this to build a functional calculus for arbitrary bounded Borel functions, by the same formula. There is some work to show that this is in fact a functional calculus, i.e. linear, multiplicative, and respecting the  $*$ -operation, but all are easily proved using the fact the arbitrary bounded Borel functions are monotone pointwise limits of simple functions, and the dominated convergence theorem.

Finally, we extract the spectral resolution as  $E(\lambda) = \chi_{(-\infty, \lambda]}(T)$  using the Borel functional calculus. By construction  $\langle E(\lambda)f, g \rangle = \nu_{f,g}((-\infty, \lambda])$ , and  $\nu_{f,g}$  is thus the Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure  $d\langle E(\lambda)f, g \rangle$ .  $\square$

## 10.6. Some applications.

- Every positive operator has a positive square root.
- Polar decomposition: every bounded operator can be written as a positive operator times an isometry. (More specifically,  $|T| = (T^*T)^{1/2}$  is the unique positive operator satisfying  $\|Tx\| = \||T|x\|$ , and there is a unique partial isometry  $U$  with  $\ker U = \ker T$  and  $U|T| = T$ .) (Exercise: find the polar decomposition of the unilateral shift.)
- Every self-adjoint operator is unitarily equivalent to multiplication by some  $a \in L^\infty(X)$  on a  $L^2(X)$  for some  $\sigma$ -finite measure space  $X$ . (If the Hilbert space is non-separable you lose  $\sigma$ -finiteness.) The spectrum is the essential range of  $a$ .
- Every bounded operator on a Hilbert spaces can be written as a linear combination of four unitaries. (To start, write a self-adjoint operator as a linear combination of two unitaries, using the identity  $x = 1/2((x+i\sqrt{1-x^2})+(x-i\sqrt{1-x^2}))$  on  $[-1, 1]$ .)
- For self-adjoint operators, the spectrum consists of generalized eigenvalues (i.e. if  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ , then

there is a sequence of unit vectors  $f_n$  so  $\|(T - \lambda I)f_n\| \rightarrow 0$ ).

- Unfortunately, all the interesting self-adjoint operators from quantum mechanics are unbounded!