

Overview

Before the break, we began to study eigenvectors and eigenvalues, introducing the characteristic equation as a tool for finding the eigenvalues of a matrix:

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = 0.$$

The roots of the characteristic equation are the eigenvalues of λ . We also discussed the notion of similarity: the matrices A and B are *similar* if $A = PBP^{-1}$ for some invertible matrix P .

Question

When is a matrix A similar to a diagonal matrix?

From Lay, §5.3

Quick review

Definition

An *eigenvector* of an $n \times n$ matrix A is a non-zero vector \mathbf{x} such that $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$ for some scalar λ . The scalar λ is an *eigenvalue* for A .

To find the eigenvalues of a matrix, find the solutions of the characteristic equation:

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = 0.$$

The λ -eigenspace is the set of all eigenvectors for the eigenvalue λ , together with the zero vector. The λ -eigenspace E_λ is $\text{Nul}(A - \lambda I)$.

The advantages of a diagonal matrix

Given a diagonal matrix, it's easy to answer the following questions:

- 1 What are the eigenvalues of D ? The dimensions of each eigenspace?
- 2 What is the determinant of D ?
- 3 Is D invertible?
- 4 What is the characteristic polynomial of D ?
- 5 What is D^k for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$?

For example, let $D = \begin{bmatrix} 10^{50} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \pi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2.7 \end{bmatrix}$.

Can you answer each of the questions above?

The diagonalisation theorem

The goal in this section is to develop a useful factorisation $A = PDP^{-1}$, for an $n \times n$ matrix A . This factorisation has several advantages:

- it makes transparent the geometric action of the associated linear transformation, and
- it permits easy calculation of A^k for large values of k :

Example 1

$$\text{Let } D = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then the transformation T_D scales the three standard basis vectors by 2, -4 , and -1 , respectively.

$$D^7 = \begin{bmatrix} 2^7 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (-4)^7 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (-1)^7 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Example 2

Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$. We will use similarity to find a formula for A^k . Suppose

we're given $A = PDP^{-1}$ where $P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$ and $D = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} A &= PDP^{-1} \\ A^2 &= PDP^{-1}PDP^{-1} \\ &= PD^2P^{-1} \\ A^3 &= PD^2P^{-1}PDP^{-1} \\ &= PD^3P^{-1} \\ &\vdots \\ A^k &= PD^kP^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} A^k &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4^k & 0 \\ 0 & (-1)^k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2/5 & 3/5 \\ 1/5 & -1/5 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{5}4^k + \frac{3}{5}(-1)^k & \frac{3}{5}4^k - \frac{3}{5}(-1)^k \\ \frac{1}{5}4^k - \frac{2}{5}(-1)^k & \frac{1}{5}4^k + \frac{2}{5}(-1)^k \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Diagonalisable Matrices

Definition

An $n \times n$ (square) matrix is **diagonalisable** if there is a diagonal matrix D such that A is similar to D .

That is, A is diagonalisable if there is an invertible $n \times n$ matrix P such that $P^{-1}AP = D$ (or equivalently $A = PDP^{-1}$).

Question

How can we tell when A is diagonalisable?

The answer lies in examining the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of A .

Recall that in Example 2 we had

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, D = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } A = PDP^{-1}.$$

Note that

$$A \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 4 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$A \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} = -1 \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We see that each column of the matrix P is an eigenvector of A ...

This means that we can view P as a change of basis matrix from eigenvector coordinates to standard coordinates!

In general, if $AP = PD$, then

$$A \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_1 & \mathbf{p}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{p}_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_1 & \mathbf{p}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{p}_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

If $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_1 & \mathbf{p}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{p}_n \end{bmatrix}$ is invertible, then A is the same as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_1 & \mathbf{p}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{p}_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_1 & \mathbf{p}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{p}_n \end{bmatrix}^{-1}.$$