Overview

Last time we studied the evolution of a discrete linear dynamical system, and today we begin the final topic of the course (loosely speaking).

Today we'll recall the definition and properties of the dot product. In the next two weeks we'll try to answer the following questions:

Question

What is the relationship between diagonalisable matrices and vector projection? How can we use this to study linear systems without exact solutions?

From Lay, §6.1, 6.2

Motivation for the inner product

• A linear system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ that arises from experimental data often has no solution. Sometimes an acceptable substitute for a solution is a vector $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ that makes the distance between $A\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ and \mathbf{b} as small as possible (you can see this $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ as a good approximation of an actual solution). As the definition for distance involves a sum of squares, the desired $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ is called a *least squares solution*.

Second Semester 2015

Second Semester 2015 2 / 22

1 / 22

 Just as the dot product on ℝⁿ helps us understand the geometry of Euclidean space with tools to detect angles and distances, the inner product can be used to understand the geometry of abstract vector spaces.

In this section we begin the development of the concepts of orthogonality and orthogonal projections; these will play an important role in finding $\hat{x}.$

MATH1014 Notes

Recall the definition of the dot product:

Dr Scott Morrison (ANU)

Definition
The dot (or scalar or inner) product of two vectors $\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_n \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{bmatrix}$ in \mathbb{R}^n is the scalar
$(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{v}$
$= \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & \cdots & u_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{bmatrix} = u_1 v_1 + \cdots + u_n v_n .$
The following properties are immediate:
(a) $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u}$
(b) $\mathbf{u} \cdot (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{w}$
(c) $k(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}) = (k\mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} \cdot (k\mathbf{v}), \ k \in \mathbb{R}$
(d) $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} \ge 0$, $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{u} = 0$.

Example 1

Consider the vectors

$$\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\3\\-2\\4 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -1\\0\\3\\-2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}^{T} \mathbf{v}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & -2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= (1)(-1) + (3)(0) + (-2)(3) + (4)(-2)$$

$$= -15$$

Second Semester 2015 4 / 22

Second Semester 2015 5 / 22

Second Semester 2015

6 / 22

The length of a vector

u

For vectors in $\ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}^3$, the dot product recovers the length of the vector:

$$\|\mathbf{u}\| = \sqrt{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}} = \sqrt{u_1^2 + u_2^2 + u_3^2}.$$

We can use the dot product to define the length of a vector in an arbitrary Euclidean space.

Definition

For $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the *length* of \mathbf{u} is

$$\|\mathbf{u}\| = \sqrt{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}} = \sqrt{u_1^2 + \dots + u_n^2}.$$

It follows that for any scalar c, the length of $c\mathbf{v}$ is |c| times the length of \mathbf{v} :

 $\|c\mathbf{v}\| = |c|\|\mathbf{v}\|.$

Unit Vectors

Dr Scott Morrison (ANU)

A vector whose length is 1 is called a **unit vector** If ${\bm v}$ is a non-zero vector, then

$$\mathbf{u} = \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{v}\|}$$

is a unit vector in the direction of $\boldsymbol{v}.$ To see this, compute

$$||\mathbf{u}||^{2} = \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}$$

$$= \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{v}\|} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{v}\|}$$

$$= \frac{1}{||\mathbf{v}||^{2}} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}$$

$$= \frac{1}{||\mathbf{v}||^{2}} ||\mathbf{v}||^{2}$$

$$= 1 \qquad (1)$$

Replacing **v** by the unit vector $\frac{\mathbf{v}}{||\mathbf{v}||}$ is called *normalising* **v**.

Dr Scott Morrison (ANU)







Notice that in the previous example (and also in the one before it) we found the orthogonal complement as the null space of a matrix. We have

$$V^{\perp} = \operatorname{Nul} A$$

where

$$A = \left[\begin{array}{rrrr} 1 & 3 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & -1 & -1 & 3 \end{array} \right]$$

is the matrix whose ROWS are the transpose of the column vectors in the spanning set for V.

To find a basis for the null space of this matrix we just proceeded as usual by bringing the augmented matrix for $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ to reduced row echelon form.

MATH1014 Notes

Second Semester 2015 15 / 22





Example 9

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1\\ 0\\ 1\\ \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1\\ 1\\ 0\\ \end{array} \right\}, \text{ Decompose } \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\ 1\\ 1\\ 3\\ \end{array} \end{aligned} \text{ as a sum of vectors in} \\ & W \text{ and } W^{\perp}. \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$$
To start, we find a basis for W^{\perp} and then write \mathbf{v} in terms of the bases for W and W^{\perp} .
We're given a basis for W in the problem, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{W}^{\perp} = \text{Span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ -1\\ 0\\ 0\\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \left[\begin{array}{l} 1\\ 0\\ -1\\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \right\} \\ & \text{Therefore } \mathbf{v} = 2 \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 1\\ 0\\ 1\\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) + \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1\\ -1\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 0\\ -1\\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\ 2\\ 0\\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ -1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$