Overview

Last time

- we defined the dot product on \mathbb{R}^n ;
- we recalled that the word "orthogonal" describes a relationship between two vectors in Rⁿ;
- we extended the definition of the word "orthogonal" to describe a relationship between a vector and a subspace;
- we defined the *orthogonal complement* W[⊥] of the the subspace W to be the subspace consisting of all the vectors orthogonal to W.

Today we'll extend the definition of the word "orthogonal" yet again. We'll also see how orthogonality can determine a particularly useful basis for a vector space.

From Lay, §6.2

Second Semester 2015

Second Semester 2015

Second Semester 2015

3 / 21

2 / 21

1 / 21

Definition of an orthogonal set

Definition

A set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is *orthogonal* if its elements are pairwise orthogonal.

Example 1

Let $U = {\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3}$ where

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3\\-2\\1\\3 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{u}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1\\3\\-3\\4 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{u}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 3\\8\\7\\0 \end{bmatrix}$$

To show that U is an orthogonal set we need to show that $\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}_2 = 0, \mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}_3 = 0$ and $\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \mathbf{u}_3 = 0$.

Dr Scott Morrison (ANU)

Example 2

The set $\{\boldsymbol{w}_1, \boldsymbol{w}_2, \boldsymbol{w}_3\}$ where

$$\mathbf{w}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 5\\-4\\0\\3 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{w}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -4\\1\\-3\\8 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{w}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 3\\3\\5\\-1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is not an orthogonal set.

We note that $\mathbf{w}_1 \cdot \mathbf{w}_2 = 0$, $\mathbf{w}_1 \cdot \mathbf{w}_3 = 0$ but $\mathbf{w}_2 \cdot \mathbf{w}_3 = -32 \neq 0$.

Dr Scott Morrison (ANU)



We solve the system

$$a +2b +c = 0$$

 $a - b + c +3d = 0$
 $2a - b - d = 0$

The coefficient matrix of this system is

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & -1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

the matrix whose rows are the transpose of the given vectors and the orthogonality condition is indeed $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ (which gives the above system).

MATH1014 Note Second Semester 2015 7 / 21 ott Morrison (ANU) Row reducing the augmented matrix of this system we get $[A|\mathbf{0}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 3 & | & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 0 & -1 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{rref} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ Thus d is free, and a = b = d, c = -3d. So the general solution to the system is $\mathbf{x} = d \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\-3 \end{bmatrix}$ and every choice of 1 $d \neq 0$ gives a vector as required. For example taking d = 1 we get the orthogonal set This is an orthogonal basis for \mathbb{R}^4 . Dr Scott Morrison (ANU) Second Semester 2015 8 / 21 An advantage of working with an orthogonal basis is that the coordinates of a vector with respect to that basis are easily determined. Theorem (2) Let $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ be an orthogonal basis for a subspace W of \mathbb{R}^n , and let **w** be any vector in W. Then the unique scalars c_1, \ldots, c_k such that $\mathbf{w} = c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + c_k \mathbf{v}_k$ are given by $c_i = rac{\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{v}_i}{\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Dr Scott Morrison (ANU) Second Semester 2015 9 / 21

Proof Since $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is a basis for W, we know that there are unique scalars c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k such that $\mathbf{w} = c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + c_k \mathbf{v}_k$. To solve for c_1 , we take the dot product of this linear combination with \mathbf{v}_i :

$$\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 = (c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + c_k \mathbf{v}_k) \cdot \mathbf{v}_1$$

= $c_1(\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1) + \dots + c_i(\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_1) + \dots + c_k(\mathbf{v}_k \cdot \mathbf{v}_1)$
= $c_1(\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1)$

since $\mathbf{v}_j \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 = 0$ for $j \neq 1$. Since $\textbf{v}_1\neq \textbf{0},\, \textbf{v}_1\cdot \textbf{v}_1\neq 0.$ Dividing by $\textbf{v}_1\cdot \textbf{v}_1,$ we obtain the desired result

$$c_1 = \frac{\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{v}_1}{\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1}.$$

Similar results follow for $c = 2, \ldots, k$.

10 / 21

Second Semester 2015

Second Semester 2015 11 / 21

Example 4

Consider the orthogonal basis for \mathbb{R}^3 :

$$\mathcal{U} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 3\\-3\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2\\2\\-1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\4 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Express $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 4\\2\\-1 \end{bmatrix}$ in \mathcal{U} coordinates.

First, check that \mathcal{U} really is an orthogonal basis for \mathbb{R}^3 :

$$\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}_3 = \mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \mathbf{u}_3 = \mathbf{0}.$$

Hence the set $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3\}$ is an orthogonal set, and since none of the vectors is the zero vector, the set is linearly independen a basis for \mathbb{R}^3 .

Dr Scott Morrison (ANU)

Recall from Theorem (2) that the \mathbf{u}_i coordinate of \mathbf{x} is given by $\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v}_i}{\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_i}$. We compute

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{u}_1 = 6, \quad \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{u}_2 = 13, \quad \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{u}_3 = 2,$$

 $\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}_1 = 18, \quad \mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \mathbf{u}_2 = 9, \quad \mathbf{u}_3 \cdot \mathbf{u}_3 = 18.$

Hence

So x

Dr Scott Morrison (ANU)

$$\mathbf{x} = \frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{1}}{\mathbf{u}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{1}} \mathbf{u}_{1} + \frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{2}}{\mathbf{u}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{2}} \mathbf{u}_{2} + \frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{3}}{\mathbf{u}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{3}} \mathbf{u}_{3}$$

$$= \frac{6}{18} \mathbf{u}_{1} + \frac{13}{9} \mathbf{u}_{2} + \frac{2}{18} \mathbf{u}_{3}$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{u}_{1} + \frac{13}{9} \mathbf{u}_{2} + \frac{1}{9} \mathbf{u}_{3}.$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{13}{9} \\ \frac{1}{9} \end{bmatrix}_{\mathcal{U}}$$
Secti Morrison (ANU) MATH1014 Notes Second Senseter 2015 12 / 21

Finally, note that if
$$P = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 & \mathbf{u}_2 & \mathbf{u}_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 \\ -3 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$
, then
$$P^T P = \begin{bmatrix} 18 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 18 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The diagonal form is because the vectors form an orthogonal set, diagonal entries are the squares of the lengths of the vectors.

Second Semester 2015 13 / 21

Second Semester 2015

Second Semester 2015 15 / 21

14 / 21

Orthonormal sets

Definition

A set $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_p\}$ in \mathbb{R}^n is an *orthonormal set* if it is an orthogonal set of unit vectors.

The simplest example of an orthonormal set is the standard basis $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ for \mathbb{R}^n .

When the vectors in an orthogonal set of nonzero vectors are normalised to have unit length, the new vectors will still be orthogonal, and hence the new set will be an orthonormal set.

Dr Scott Morrison (ANU)

Recall that in the last example, when P was a matrix with orthogonal columns, $P^T P$ was diagonal. When the columns of a matrix are vectors in an orthonormal set, the situation is even nicer:

Suppose that $\{\textbf{u}_1,\textbf{u}_2,\textbf{u}_3\}$ is an orthonormal set in \mathbb{R}^3 and $U = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 & \mathbf{u}_2 & \mathbf{u}_3 \end{bmatrix}$. Then

$$U^{T}U = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{T} \\ \mathbf{u}_{2}^{T} \\ \mathbf{u}_{3}^{T} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{1} & \mathbf{u}_{2} & \mathbf{u}_{3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{T}\mathbf{u}_{1} & \mathbf{u}_{1}^{T}\mathbf{u}_{2} & \mathbf{u}_{1}^{T}\mathbf{u}_{3} \\ \mathbf{u}_{2}^{T}\mathbf{u}_{1} & \mathbf{u}_{2}^{T}\mathbf{u}_{2} & \mathbf{u}_{2}^{T}\mathbf{u}_{3} \\ \mathbf{u}_{3}^{T}\mathbf{u}_{1} & \mathbf{u}_{3}^{T}\mathbf{u}_{2} & \mathbf{u}_{3}^{T}\mathbf{u}_{3} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$U^{\mathsf{T}}U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since U is a square matrix, the relation $U^T U = I$ implies that $U^T = U^{-1}$ and thus we also have $UU^T = I$.

In fact,

A square matrix U has orthonormal columns if and only if U is invertible with $U^{-1} = U^T$.

Definition

A square matrix U which is invertible and such that $U^{-1} = U^T$ is called an orthogonal matrix.

It follows from the result above that an orthogonal matrix is a square matrix whose columns form an **orthonormal** set (not just an orthogonal set as the name might suggest).

Second Semester 2015 16 / 21

Second Semester 2015 17 / 21

Second Semester 2015 18 / 21

More generally, we have the following result:

Theorem (3)

An $m \times n$ matrix U has orthonormal columns if and only if $U^T U = I$.

We also have the following theorem

Theorem (4)

Let U be an $m\times n$ matrix with orthonormal columns, and let x and y be vectors in $\mathbb{R}^n.$ Then

(1) $||U\mathbf{x}|| = ||\mathbf{x}||.$

(2) $(U\mathbf{x}) \cdot (U\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$.

Dr Scott Morrison (ANU)

(3) $(U\mathbf{x}) \cdot (U\mathbf{y}) = 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = 0$.

Properties (1) and (3) say that if U has orthonormal columns then the linear transformation $\mathbf{x} \to U\mathbf{x}$ (from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^m) preserves lengths and orthogonality.

Examples

Example 5

The 4×3 matrix

Dr Scott Morrison (ANU

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

has orthogonal columns and $A^T A$ equals

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & -1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 12 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that here the rows of A are NOT orthogonal. For example, if we take the dot product of the first two rows we get

 $\langle 1, 1, 2 \rangle \cdot \langle 2, -1, -1 \rangle = 2 - 1 - 2 = -1 \neq 0$. (ANU) MATH1014 Notes Second 1 Now consider the new matrix where each column of A is normalised:

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{6} & 1/\sqrt{12} & 2/\sqrt{6} \\ 2/\sqrt{6} & -1/\sqrt{12} & -1/\sqrt{6} \\ 1/\sqrt{6} & 1/\sqrt{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 3/\sqrt{12} & -1/\sqrt{6} \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$B^T B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

Second Semester 2015 19 / 21

Second Semester 2015 20 / 21

21 / 21

Example 6 Determine *a*, *b*, *c* such that

Dr Scott Morrison (ANU)

 $\begin{bmatrix} a & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ b & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \\ c & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \end{bmatrix}$

is an orthogonal matrix. The given 2nd and 3rd columns are orthonormal.

So we need to satisfy: (1) $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1$, (2) $a/\sqrt{2} + b/\sqrt{6} + c/\sqrt{3} = 0$ which is equivalent to $\sqrt{3}a + b + \sqrt{2}c = 0$ (3) $-a/\sqrt{2} + b/\sqrt{6} + c/\sqrt{3} = 0$ which is equivalent to $-\sqrt{3}a + b + \sqrt{2}c = 0$. From (2) and (3) we get $a = 0, b = -\sqrt{2}c$. Substituting in (1) we get $2c^2 + c^2 = 1$ that is $c^2 = \frac{1}{3}$ which gives $c = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$. Thus possible 1st columns are $\pm \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}}\\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \end{bmatrix}$ (there are only two possibilities).

MATH1014 Notes