

Recall

an $m \times n$ matrix A with linearly independent columns,
can be written $A = QR$, where

- Q ^{← an $m \times n$ matrix} has orthonormal columns and
- R ^{← an $n \times n$ matrix} is upper triangular.

You can compute this decomposition ~~or~~ by

- finding an orthonormal basis for $\text{Col}A$, by applying Gram-Schmidt, and normalising, then using these vectors as the columns of Q .
- calculating $R = (I \ R = Q^T Q R =) Q^T A$.

Why?

Solving $Ax=b$ is easier once you have a QR decomposition:

$$Ax=b$$



$$QRx=b$$



$$Q^T Q R x = Q^T b$$



$$Rx = Q^T b$$

which can be solved just by back substitution
— R is upper triangular!

Even better, this is less prone to numerical (rounding) errors than Gaussian elimination.

Today: Least squares solutions.

Sometimes $Ax=b$ doesn't have a solution,
but we wish it did!

Perhaps the 'real' equations are $A'x=b'$, but we've made
a small error, writing A instead of A' and b instead of b' !

A least squares solution to $Ax=b$ is

an \hat{x} so $A\hat{x}$ is as close as possible to b .

⇒ This goes back to Gauss, who invented the method while
deducing the orbit of the asteroid Ceres from just
3 observations!

Definition a least squares solution to $Ax=b$ is a vector \hat{x} so

$$\|A\hat{x}-b\| \leq \|Ax-b\| \quad \text{for all vectors } x.$$

Recall Ax is always in the column space of A .

The equation $Ax=b$ is consistent if and only if $b \in \text{Col}A$.

To find a least squares solution, we want Ax to be the closest point in $\text{Col}A$ to b .

Thus the solution to the least squares problem is given by $A\hat{x} = \text{proj}_{\text{Col}A} b$.

Consider the QR decomposition $A = QR$.

Then $\text{proj}_{\text{col}A} = \text{proj}_{\text{col}Q} = QQ^T$, so we have

$$A\hat{x} = QR\hat{x} = QQ^T b$$

Multiplying by A^T , we have $A^T A\hat{x} = R^T Q^T Q Q^T b$
 $= R^T Q^T b$
 $= A^T b.$

These are called the normal equations for a least squares solution:

$$A^T A\hat{x} = A^T b$$

(and don't require you to know anything by QR decompositions)

Examples

Find the least squares solution to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} x = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. We'll solve the normal equations,

$$A^T A \hat{x} = A^T b.$$

$$A^T A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 11 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^T b = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 14 \end{bmatrix}. \quad \text{We need to solve } \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 11 \end{bmatrix} \hat{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 14 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Some row-reduction on the ~~aug~~ augmented matrix:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 3 & 3 & 6 \\ 3 & 11 & 14 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 3 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & 8 & 8 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right], \quad \text{so } \hat{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that $A \hat{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ is the closest point in $\text{Col} A$ to $\begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Example

Find the least squares solution to

$$Ax = b,$$

where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 1 & -2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$b = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$A^T A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 2 \\ -1 & -2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 1 & -2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 14 & 1 \\ 1 & 14 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This is invertible — we can rearrange the normal equations as

$$\hat{x} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T b$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 14 & 1 \\ 1 & 14 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 2 \\ -1 & -2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{195} \begin{bmatrix} 14 & -1 \\ -1 & 14 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 19 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{13} \begin{bmatrix} 18 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Example

Find the least squares solutions to $Ax=b$,

with

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 5 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^T A = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 1 & 13 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 13 & 5 & 31 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A^T b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus solving $A^T A \hat{x} = A^T b$ is the same as finding $\text{Nul}(A^T A)$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 1 & 13 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 13 & 5 & 31 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\text{so } \hat{x} \in \text{Nul } A^T A = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

The solution is unique.

There is a unique least squares solution

if and only if $A^T A$ is invertible,
or equivalently, the columns of A are independent.

Actually, if we have a QR decomposition, we can do much better:

$$A\hat{x} = QR\hat{x} = QQ^T b$$

↓ (multiplying by Q^T)

$$Q^T QR\hat{x} = \cancel{QR} = Q^T Q Q^T b$$

||

$$R\hat{x}$$

$$= Q^T b$$

so
$$\hat{x} = R^{-1} Q^T b$$

Even better, this is much less susceptible to rounding errors than solving the normal equations.

in practice, just solve this by back-substitution, rather than calculating R^{-1} .

Example

Suppose

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$b = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 6 \\ 5 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

Find the least squares solution to $Ax = b$

Since we've been given a QR decomposition of A , let's use the equations

$$R \hat{x} = Q^T b.$$

$$Q^T b = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 6 \\ 5 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{17}{2} \\ \frac{9}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then solve

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{17}{2} \\ \frac{9}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{So } x_2 = \frac{9}{10}, \quad 2x_1 + 3x_2 = \frac{17}{2}$$

$$x_1 = \frac{17}{4} - \frac{27}{20} = \frac{85 - 27}{20} = \frac{58}{20} = \frac{29}{10}.$$

A cautionary example

Different methods matter, when doing numerical linear algebra.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} .780 & .563 \\ .913 & .659 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$b = \begin{pmatrix} .217 \\ .254 \end{pmatrix}$$

(from <http://www.cs.toronto.edu/~enright/teaching/D37/Week2.pdf>)

$$A^T A = \begin{pmatrix} 1.44 & 1.04 \\ 1.04 & 0.751 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A^T b = \begin{pmatrix} .312 \\ .366 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 1.44 & 1.04 & .312 \\ 1.04 & 0.751 & .366 \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 1.44 & 1.04 & .312 \\ 0 & -0.000111 & .141 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow x_2 = -1270$$

$$x_1 = \cancel{917} \quad 917$$

$$Ax = \begin{pmatrix} -474 \\ -555 \end{pmatrix}$$

Using this example in future years; it was done in a hurry...
(1, 1) is an exact solution!

Alternatively:

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} -0.650 & -0.760 \\ -0.760 & 0.650 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} -1.20 & -0.967 \\ 0 & 8.33 \times 10^{-7} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\hat{x} = R^{-1} Q^T b = \begin{bmatrix} -156 \\ 216 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A \hat{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.239 \\ 0.280 \end{bmatrix}$$