

Overview

Last time

- we defined the dot product on \mathbb{R}^n ;
- we recalled that the word “orthogonal” describes a relationship between two vectors in \mathbb{R}^n ;
- we extended the definition of the word “orthogonal” to describe a relationship between a vector and a subspace;
- we defined the *orthogonal complement* W^\perp of the the subspace W to be the subspace consisting of all the vectors orthogonal to W .

Today we'll extend the definition of the word “orthogonal” yet again. We'll also see how orthogonality can determine a particularly useful basis for a vector space.

From Lay, §6.2

Definition of an orthogonal set

Definition

A set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is *orthogonal* if its elements are pairwise orthogonal.

Example 1

Let $U = \{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3\}$ where

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{u}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \\ -3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{u}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 8 \\ 7 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

To show that U is an orthogonal set we need to show that $\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}_2 = 0$, $\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}_3 = 0$ and $\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \mathbf{u}_3 = 0$.

Example 2

The set $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \mathbf{w}_3\}$ where

$$\mathbf{w}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ -4 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{w}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 1 \\ -3 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{w}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \\ 5 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is not an orthogonal set.

We note that $\mathbf{w}_1 \cdot \mathbf{w}_2 = 0$, $\mathbf{w}_1 \cdot \mathbf{w}_3 = 0$ but $\mathbf{w}_2 \cdot \mathbf{w}_3 = -32 \neq 0$.

Theorem (1)

*If $S = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is an orthogonal set of **nonzero** vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , then S is a linearly independent set, and hence is a basis for the subspace spanned by S .*

Theorem (1)

If $S = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is an orthogonal set of **nonzero** vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , then S is a linearly independent set, and hence is a basis for the subspace spanned by S .

Proof:

Suppose that c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k are scalars such that

$$c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + c_k\mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{0}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathbf{0} \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 = (c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + c_k\mathbf{v}_k) \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 \\ &= c_1(\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1) + c_2(\mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1) + \cdots + c_k(\mathbf{v}_k \cdot \mathbf{v}_1) \\ &= c_1(\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1) \end{aligned}$$

since \mathbf{v}_1 is orthogonal to $\mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$.

Since \mathbf{v}_1 is nonzero, $\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1$, and so $c_1 = 0$.

A similar argument shows that c_2, \dots, c_k must be zero.

Thus S is linearly independent. □

Definition

An *orthogonal basis* for a subspace W of \mathbb{R}^n is a basis of W that is an orthogonal set.

Definition

An *orthogonal basis* for a subspace W of \mathbb{R}^n is a basis of W that is an orthogonal set.

Example 3

Given $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$, find a nonzero vector $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{bmatrix}$ so that the four vectors form an orthogonal set.

We are looking for a vector that satisfies the three conditions

$$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0, \quad \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = 0, \quad \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

This gives a homogeneous system of three equations in the four variables a, b, c, d , which reduces the problem to one we already know how to solve.

An advantage of working with an orthogonal basis is that the coordinates of a vector with respect to that basis are easily determined.

Theorem (2)

Let $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ be an orthogonal basis for a subspace W of \mathbb{R}^n , and let \mathbf{w} be any vector in W . Then the unique scalars c_1, \dots, c_k such that

$$\mathbf{w} = c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + c_k\mathbf{v}_k$$

are given by

$$c_i = \frac{\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{v}_i}{\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_i} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k.$$

Proof Since $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is a basis for W , we know that there are unique scalars c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k such that $\mathbf{w} = c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + c_k\mathbf{v}_k$.

To solve for c_1 , we take the dot product of this linear combination with \mathbf{v}_1 :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 &= (c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + c_k\mathbf{v}_k) \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 \\ &= c_1(\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1) + \dots + c_i(\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_1) + \dots + c_k(\mathbf{v}_k \cdot \mathbf{v}_1) \\ &= c_1(\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1)\end{aligned}$$

since $\mathbf{v}_j \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 = 0$ for $j \neq 1$.

Proof Since $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is a basis for W , we know that there are unique scalars c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k such that $\mathbf{w} = c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + c_k\mathbf{v}_k$.

To solve for c_1 , we take the dot product of this linear combination with \mathbf{v}_1 :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 &= (c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + c_k\mathbf{v}_k) \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 \\ &= c_1(\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1) + \dots + c_i(\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_1) + \dots + c_k(\mathbf{v}_k \cdot \mathbf{v}_1) \\ &= c_1(\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1)\end{aligned}$$

since $\mathbf{v}_j \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 = 0$ for $j \neq 1$.

Since $\mathbf{v}_1 \neq \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 \neq 0$. Dividing by $\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1$, we obtain the desired result

$$c_1 = \frac{\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{v}_1}{\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1}.$$

Similar results follow for $c = 2, \dots, k$.



Example 4

Consider the orthogonal basis for \mathbb{R}^3 :

$$\mathcal{U} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Express $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ in \mathcal{U} coordinates.

Example 4

Consider the orthogonal basis for \mathbb{R}^3 :

$$\mathcal{U} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Express $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ in \mathcal{U} coordinates.

First, check that \mathcal{U} really is an orthogonal basis for \mathbb{R}^3 :

$$\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}_3 = \mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \mathbf{u}_3 = 0.$$

Hence the set $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3\}$ is an orthogonal set, and since none of the vectors is the zero vector, the set is linearly independent a basis for \mathbb{R}^3 .

Recall from Theorem (2) that the u_i coordinate of \mathbf{x} is given by $\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v}_i}{\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_i}$.

Recall from Theorem (2) that the \mathbf{u}_i coordinate of \mathbf{x} is given by $\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v}_i}{\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_i}$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{u}_1 &= 6, & \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{u}_2 &= 13, & \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{u}_3 &= 2, \\ \mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}_1 &= 18, & \mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \mathbf{u}_2 &= 9, & \mathbf{u}_3 \cdot \mathbf{u}_3 &= 18.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x} &= \frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{u}_1}{\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}_1} \mathbf{u}_1 + \frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{u}_2}{\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \mathbf{u}_2} \mathbf{u}_2 + \frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{u}_3}{\mathbf{u}_3 \cdot \mathbf{u}_3} \mathbf{u}_3 \\ &= \frac{6}{18} \mathbf{u}_1 + \frac{13}{9} \mathbf{u}_2 + \frac{2}{18} \mathbf{u}_3 \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{u}_1 + \frac{13}{9} \mathbf{u}_2 + \frac{1}{9} \mathbf{u}_3.\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{13}{9} \\ \frac{1}{9} \end{bmatrix}_{\mathcal{U}}.$$

Finally, note that if $P = [\mathbf{u}_1 \quad \mathbf{u}_2 \quad \mathbf{u}_3] = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 \\ -3 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$, then

$$P^T P = \begin{bmatrix} 18 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 18 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The diagonal form is because the vectors form an orthogonal set, diagonal entries are the squares of the lengths of the vectors. □

Orthonormal sets

Definition

A set $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_p\}$ in \mathbb{R}^n is an *orthonormal set* if it is an orthogonal set of unit vectors.

Orthonormal sets

Definition

A set $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_p\}$ in \mathbb{R}^n is an *orthonormal set* if it is an orthogonal set of unit vectors.

The simplest example of an orthonormal set is the standard basis $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ for \mathbb{R}^n .

When the vectors in an orthogonal set of nonzero vectors are *normalised* to have unit length, the new vectors will still be orthogonal, and hence the new set will be an orthonormal set.

Recall that in the last example, when P was a matrix with orthogonal columns, $P^T P$ was diagonal. When the columns of a matrix are vectors in an orthonormal set, the situation is even nicer:

Recall that in the last example, when P was a matrix with orthogonal columns, $P^T P$ was diagonal. When the columns of a matrix are vectors in an orthonormal set, the situation is even nicer:

Suppose that $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3\}$ is an orthonormal set in \mathbb{R}^3 and $U = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 & \mathbf{u}_2 & \mathbf{u}_3 \end{bmatrix}$. Then

$$U^T U = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1^T \\ \mathbf{u}_2^T \\ \mathbf{u}_3^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 & \mathbf{u}_2 & \mathbf{u}_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1^T \mathbf{u}_1 & \mathbf{u}_1^T \mathbf{u}_2 & \mathbf{u}_1^T \mathbf{u}_3 \\ \mathbf{u}_2^T \mathbf{u}_1 & \mathbf{u}_2^T \mathbf{u}_2 & \mathbf{u}_2^T \mathbf{u}_3 \\ \mathbf{u}_3^T \mathbf{u}_1 & \mathbf{u}_3^T \mathbf{u}_2 & \mathbf{u}_3^T \mathbf{u}_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Recall that in the last example, when P was a matrix with orthogonal columns, $P^T P$ was diagonal. When the columns of a matrix are vectors in an orthonormal set, the situation is even nicer:

Suppose that $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3\}$ is an orthonormal set in \mathbb{R}^3 and $U = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 & \mathbf{u}_2 & \mathbf{u}_3 \end{bmatrix}$. Then

$$U^T U = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1^T \\ \mathbf{u}_2^T \\ \mathbf{u}_3^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 & \mathbf{u}_2 & \mathbf{u}_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1^T \mathbf{u}_1 & \mathbf{u}_1^T \mathbf{u}_2 & \mathbf{u}_1^T \mathbf{u}_3 \\ \mathbf{u}_2^T \mathbf{u}_1 & \mathbf{u}_2^T \mathbf{u}_2 & \mathbf{u}_2^T \mathbf{u}_3 \\ \mathbf{u}_3^T \mathbf{u}_1 & \mathbf{u}_3^T \mathbf{u}_2 & \mathbf{u}_3^T \mathbf{u}_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$U^T U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Recall that in the last example, when P was a matrix with orthogonal columns, $P^T P$ was diagonal. When the columns of a matrix are vectors in an orthonormal set, the situation is even nicer:

Suppose that $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3\}$ is an orthonormal set in \mathbb{R}^3 and $U = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 & \mathbf{u}_2 & \mathbf{u}_3 \end{bmatrix}$. Then

$$U^T U = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1^T \\ \mathbf{u}_2^T \\ \mathbf{u}_3^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 & \mathbf{u}_2 & \mathbf{u}_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1^T \mathbf{u}_1 & \mathbf{u}_1^T \mathbf{u}_2 & \mathbf{u}_1^T \mathbf{u}_3 \\ \mathbf{u}_2^T \mathbf{u}_1 & \mathbf{u}_2^T \mathbf{u}_2 & \mathbf{u}_2^T \mathbf{u}_3 \\ \mathbf{u}_3^T \mathbf{u}_1 & \mathbf{u}_3^T \mathbf{u}_2 & \mathbf{u}_3^T \mathbf{u}_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$U^T U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since U is a square matrix, the relation $U^T U = I$ implies that $U^T = U^{-1}$ and thus we also have $U U^T = I$.

In fact,

A **square** matrix U has orthonormal columns if and only if U is invertible with $U^{-1} = U^T$.

In fact,

A **square** matrix U has orthonormal columns if and only if U is invertible with $U^{-1} = U^T$.

Definition

A **square** matrix U which is invertible and such that $U^{-1} = U^T$ is called an **orthogonal matrix**.

It follows from the result above that an orthogonal matrix is a square matrix whose columns form an **orthonormal** set (not just an orthogonal set as the name might suggest).

More generally, we have the following result:

Theorem (3)

An $m \times n$ matrix U has orthonormal columns if and only if $U^T U = I$.

More generally, we have the following result:

Theorem (3)

An $m \times n$ matrix U has orthonormal columns if and only if $U^T U = I$.

We also have the following theorem

Theorem (4)

Let U be an $m \times n$ matrix with orthonormal columns, and let \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} be vectors in \mathbb{R}^n . Then

- (1) $\|U\mathbf{x}\| = \|\mathbf{x}\|$.
- (2) $(U\mathbf{x}) \cdot (U\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$.
- (3) $(U\mathbf{x}) \cdot (U\mathbf{y}) = 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = 0$.

Properties (1) and (3) say that if U has orthonormal columns then the linear transformation $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow U\mathbf{x}$ (from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^m) preserves lengths and orthogonality.

Examples

Example 5

The 4×3 matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

has orthogonal columns and $A^T A$ equals

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & -1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 12 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that here the rows of A are NOT orthogonal. For example, if we take the dot product of the first two rows we get

$$\langle 1, 1, 2 \rangle \cdot \langle 2, -1, -1 \rangle = 2 - 1 - 2 = -1 \neq 0.$$

Now consider the new matrix where each column of A is normalised:

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{6} & 1/\sqrt{12} & 2/\sqrt{6} \\ 2/\sqrt{6} & -1/\sqrt{12} & -1/\sqrt{6} \\ 1/\sqrt{6} & 1/\sqrt{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 3/\sqrt{12} & -1/\sqrt{6} \end{bmatrix} .$$

Then

$$B^T B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} .$$

Example 6

Determine a, b, c such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ b & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \\ c & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \end{bmatrix}$$

is an orthogonal matrix.

The given 2nd and 3rd columns are orthonormal.

So we need to satisfy:

$$(1) \quad a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1,$$

$$(2) \quad a/\sqrt{2} + b/\sqrt{6} + c/\sqrt{3} = 0 \text{ which is equivalent to}$$

$$\sqrt{3}a + b + \sqrt{2}c = 0$$

$$(3) \quad -a/\sqrt{2} + b/\sqrt{6} + c/\sqrt{3} = 0 \text{ which is equivalent to}$$

$$-\sqrt{3}a + b + \sqrt{2}c = 0.$$

From (2) and (3) we get $a = 0, b = -\sqrt{2}c$.

Substituting in (1) we get $2c^2 + c^2 = 1$ that is $c^2 = \frac{1}{3}$ which gives

$c = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$. Thus possible 1st columns are $\pm \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \end{bmatrix}$ (there are only two

possibilities).

