

Recall

Given a subspace  $W$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we can

①

write any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  as

$$y = \hat{y} + z$$

where  $\hat{y} \in W$  and  $z \in W^\perp$ .

Here  $\hat{y} = \text{proj}_W y$  and  $z = \text{proj}_{W^\perp} y = y - \hat{y}$ .

Given an orthogonal basis  $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$  for  $W$ , we have

$$\hat{y} = \frac{y \cdot u_1}{u_1 \cdot u_1} u_1 + \dots + \frac{y \cdot u_k}{u_k \cdot u_k} u_k.$$

If we have an orthonormal basis  $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$  for  $W$ ,<sup>(2)</sup>  
it's even easier:

$$\hat{y} = \text{proj}_W y = (y \cdot u_1)u_1 + \dots + (y \cdot u_k)u_k.$$

If  $U = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ u_1 & \dots & u_k \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix}$  is a matrix with orthonormal columns,

and  $\text{Col } U = W$ , then

$$UU^T y = \hat{y} = \text{proj}_W y$$

and  $U^T U = I_{p \times p}$ .

Today: Given a basis  $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  for a subspace  $W$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  
how can we produce an orthogonal basis?

The Gram-Schmidt process.

We'll construct a new basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ .

Idea: Take  $v_1 = x_1$ .

Next, choose  $v_2$  so  $v_2$  is orthogonal to  $v_1$ ,  
but  $\text{span}\{x_1, x_2\} = \text{span}\{v_1, v_2\}$ .

Then choose  $v_3$  so  $v_3$  is orthogonal to  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ ,  
but  $\text{span}\{x_1, x_2, x_3\} = \text{span}\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$

... and continue until you've reached  $v_k$ .

## Example

Let  $W = \text{span}\{x_1, x_2\}$ ,

where  $x_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $x_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Find an orthogonal basis  
for  $W$ .

We start with  $v_1 = x_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

We want  $v_2 \in \text{span}\{x_1, x_2\}$ ,  
and  $v_2$  orthogonal to  $v_1$ .

We can find such a vector as

$$v_2 = \cancel{x_2} - \text{proj}_{x_1} x_2.$$

Let's calculate:

$$\text{proj}_{x_1} x_2 = \frac{x_2 \cdot x_1}{x_1 \cdot x_1} x_1 = \frac{4}{2} x_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Sure enough  $v_1 \perp v_2$ , and

$$\text{span}\{v_1, v_2\} = \text{span}\{x_1, x_2\},$$

so  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  is an orthogonal basis for  $W$ .

(4)

## Example

Suppose  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  is a basis for a subspace  $W$  of  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .

Describe an orthogonal basis for  $W$ .

As before, we put

$$v_1 = x_1, \quad v_2 = x_2 - \frac{x_2 \cdot v_1}{v_1 \cdot v_1} v_1.$$

Now  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  is an orthogonal basis for

$$W_2 = \text{span}\{x_1, x_2\} = \text{span}\{v_1, v_2\}.$$

Then we'll take

$$\begin{aligned} v_3 &= x_3 - \text{proj}_{W_2} x_3 \\ &= x_3 - \frac{x_3 \cdot v_1}{v_1 \cdot v_1} v_1 - \frac{x_3 \cdot v_2}{v_2 \cdot v_2} v_2. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly  $v_3 \in W_2^\perp$ , so  $v_3$  is orthogonal to both  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ .

From our formula,  $\text{span}\{v_1, v_2, v_3\} = \text{span}\{x_1, x_2, x_3\} = W$ .

so we've found an orthogonal basis for  $W$ .

(5)

# Theorem The Gram-Schmidt process

(6)

Given a basis  $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  for a subspace  $W$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , define

$$v_1 = x_1$$

$$v_2 = x_2 - \frac{x_2 \cdot v_1}{v_1 \cdot v_1} v_1$$

$$v_3 = x_3 - \frac{x_3 \cdot v_1}{v_1 \cdot v_1} v_1 - \frac{x_3 \cdot v_2}{v_2 \cdot v_2} v_2$$

⋮

$$v_k = x_k - \frac{x_k \cdot v_1}{v_1 \cdot v_1} v_1 - \dots - \frac{x_k \cdot v_{k-1}}{v_{k-1} \cdot v_{k-1}} v_{k-1}$$

Then  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  is an orthogonal basis for  $W$ .

(Also,  $\text{span}\{x_1, \dots, x_l\} = \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_l\}$  for each  $1 \leq l \leq k$ .)

## Example

Let  $W = \text{span}\{x_1, x_2\}$ ,

$$x_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad x_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 14 \\ -7 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Find an orthogonal basis for  $W$ .

Take  $v_1 = x_1$ .

$$\text{Define } v_2 = x_2 - \frac{x_2 \cdot v_1}{v_1 \cdot v_1} v_1$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 14 \\ -7 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{-100}{50} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

If we wanted an orthonormal basis, we could normalise after we've done:

$$\text{Define } u_1 = \frac{v_1}{\|v_1\|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{50}} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$u_2 = \frac{v_2}{\|v_2\|} = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{6}} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then  $\{u_1, u_2\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $W$ .

## Example

Find an orthogonal basis for  $\text{Col} A$ , where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let's write

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} | & | & | \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ | & | & | \end{bmatrix}$$

Set  $v_1 = a_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Define  $v_2 = a_2 - \frac{a_2 \cdot v_1}{v_1 \cdot v_1} v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Actually, for convenience, let's take

$$v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

instead. (You're allowed to multiply any of the  $v_i$  by a scalar, as long as you always use the same  $v_i$ !)

Then  $v_3 = a_3 - \frac{a_3 \cdot v_1}{v_1 \cdot v_1} v_1 - \frac{a_3 \cdot v_2}{v_2 \cdot v_2} v_2$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - 0 - \frac{2}{6} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

Again, let's take  $v_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  instead.

## Next QR decomposition of matrices

(9)

Theorem If  $A$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix with linearly independent columns, we can write

$$A = QR$$

where  $Q$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix with orthonormal columns, and  $\text{Col } Q = \text{Col } A$ , and

$R$  is an  $n \times n$  upper triangular matrix.

You can find  $Q$  by applying Gram-Schmidt to the columns of  $A$ , normalising the basis, and using the resulting vectors as the columns of  $Q$ .

Since  $Q$  has orthonormal columns,

$$Q^T Q = I_{n \times n}$$

We can use this to find  $R$ :

$$R = I R = Q^T Q R = Q^T A.$$

The textbook explains why this  $R$  is upper triangular

— but you can see it in the fact that in

Gram-Schmidt,  $v_i \in \text{span}\{x_1, \dots, x_i\}$ ,

but does not depend on the later  $x_i$ 's.

## Example

Recall an orthogonal basis for  $\text{Col } A$ , where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

is given by

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

Find a QR decomposition of  $A$ .

To find  $Q$ , we need to normalise these vectors, and use these as the columns of  $Q$ .

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$R = Q^T A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{3}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{4}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

(which, happily, is upper triangular.)

# Matrix decompositions

We've seen several matrix decompositions:

- $A = PDP^{-1}$

(diagonalisation:  $D$  is diagonal, with entries the eigenvalues)

$P$  has as columns the eigenvectors)

- $$\begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{a^2+b^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{a^2+b^2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{bmatrix}$$
 where  $r = \sqrt{a^2+b^2}$   
 $\theta = \cos^{-1} \frac{a}{r}$ .

- $A = QR$ .