

3 Compact operators on Hilbert space

There is a class of bounded linear transformation on a Hilbert space H that is closely analogous to linear transformations between finite-dimensional spaces — the compact operators. Throughout, we will take H to be separable and infinite dimensional. Recall that there is only 'one such H ' up to unitary equivalence.

Let us define the closed unit ball $B \subset H$ to be

$$B = \{f \in H \mid \|f\| \leq 1\}.$$

Notice that B is not compact. Indeed, if e_1, e_2, \dots is an orthonormal basis of H , then this is a sequence in B with no convergent subsequence, since $\|e_i - e_j\| = \sqrt{2}$ if $i \neq j$.

Definition 3.1. A bounded linear transformation $T : H \rightarrow H$ is compact if the closure of $T(B)$ is compact in H . Equivalently, T is compact if, for every bounded sequence f_n , Tf_n contains a convergent subsequence.

Thus, the identity operator on H is not compact. Here are some examples of compact operators:

- Finite rank operators. A bounded linear transformation is said to be of finite rank if its range is finite dimensional. Let F be a finite rank bounded linear transformation. Then $F(B)$ is a bounded set contained in a finite dimensional subspace of H . Its closure is therefore compact (since closed, bounded subsets of \mathbb{C}^n are compact).

Exercise. If F is finite rank, let $n = \dim \text{ran}(F)$. Show that there are n vectors f_1, \dots, f_n so that, with $S = \text{span}(f_1, \dots, f_n)$, $H = \text{Ker}(F) \oplus S$. Hence, show that F has the form

$$Fk = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(f_i, k)$$

for some vectors g_i .

- Integral operators. If $H = L^2([0, 1])$, let the operator T be defined by

$$Tf(x) = \int_0^1 K(x, y)f(y) dy.$$

Then if $K(x, y)$ is L^2 on $[0, 1]^2$, then T is compact. We will show this shortly.

1

(iv) This follows from parts (ii) and (iii), and from the identity $\|A\| = \|A^*\|$ for all bounded linear transformations A . □

Corollary 3.3. Let E be a measurable subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Let T be an integral operator on $L^2(E)$ with kernel $K(x, y)$. Assume that $K \in L^2(E^2)$. Then T is a bounded operator with $\|T\| \leq \|K\|_{L^2(E^2)}$. Moreover, T is compact.

Sketch: Approximate K by linear combinations of functions $\chi_A(x)\chi_B(y)$ for A and B measurable sets in E . The corresponding integral operators are finite rank, and approximate T . □

The book gives a different proof.

An abstraction of this class of operators is the class of Hilbert-Schmidt operators; see Stein & Shakarchi, p. 187. A Hilbert-Schmidt operator is one with finite "Hilbert-Schmidt" norm,

$$\|A\|_{HS}^2 = \sum_i \|Ae_i\|^2.$$

Later we'll be able to show that for every Hilbert-Schmidt operator $T : H \rightarrow H$, there is a measure space E , a kernel K in $L^2(E^2)$, and a unitary $U : H \rightarrow L^2(E)$ so that

$$T = U^* T_K U,$$

where T_K is the integral operator with kernel K .

3.2 Spectral theorem for compact operators

The following important theorem is a direct analogue of the spectral theorem for real symmetric matrices. Before stating it we give some more examples.

Example. Diagonal or 'multiplier' operators. Let e_1, e_2, \dots be an orthonormal basis of a Hilbert space H , and let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$ be a bounded sequence of complex numbers. Define (if possible) the operator T by $Te_i = \lambda_i e_i$ for all i . Show that

- (1) there is a unique bounded operator T with this property, and $\|T\| = \sup_i |\lambda_i|$.
- (2) Show that T is compact iff $\lambda_i \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 3.4. We say that an operator $T : H \rightarrow H$ is self-adjoint, or symmetric, if $T = T^*$, or equivalently, if $\langle Tf, g \rangle = \langle f, Tg \rangle$ for all f, g .

3

3.1 Properties of compact operators

Proposition 3.2 (Proposition 6.1 of SS). Let T be a bounded linear operator on H .

- (i) If S is a compact operator on H , then ST and TS are compact.
- (ii) Suppose that there exists a sequence T_n of compact operators such that $\|T - T_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then T is compact.
- (iii) Every compact operator T is the norm limit of a sequence of finite rank operators.
- (iv) T is compact iff T^* is compact.

Remarks on the proof:

- (i) uses some standard point-set topology.
- (ii) uses a diagonal argument.
- (iii) is proved using a family of projection operators associated to an ONB.
- (iv) follows readily from (iii).

Proof: The proof of (i) is straightforward. Let (f_n) be a bounded sequence. Then Tf_n is another bounded sequence, and hence STf_n has a convergent subsequence. Hence ST is compact. Also, we note that Sf_n has a convergent subsequence Sf_{n_k} , and since T is continuous, TSf_{n_k} is convergent. Therefore TS is compact.

(ii) Let f_n be a bounded sequence. Then since T_1 is compact there is a subsequence $f_{1,k}$ such that $T_1 f_{1,k}$ converges. Since T_2 is compact there is a subsequence $f_{2,k}$ of $f_{1,k}$ such that $T_2 f_{2,k}$ converges. And so on; we thus generate a family of nested subsequences $f_{n,k}$. Let $g_k = f_{k,k}$. Then g_k is eventually a subsequence of the n th subsequence $f_{n,k}$ so $T_n g_k$ converges as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for each n . We now claim that Tg_k is a Cauchy sequence, and hence convergent. To see this we write

$$\|T(g_k - g_l)\| \leq \|Tg_k - T_m g_k\| + \|T_m(g_k - g_l)\| + \|T_m g_l - Tg_l\|$$

which is valid for any m . Let M be an upper bound on the $\|g_k\|$. Then the first and third terms are bounded by $M\|T - T_m\|$ which is small provided m is chosen large enough. Fixing any sufficiently large m , the second term is small if k, l are large enough.

(iii) Choose an orthonormal basis e_1, e_2, \dots and let P_n be the orthogonal projection onto the span of the first n basis vectors, and $Q_n = \text{Id} - P_n$. Then $\|Q_n T f\|$ is a nonincreasing function of n , so therefore $\|Q_n T\|$ is nonincreasing in n . If $\|Q_n T\| = \|P_n T - T\| \rightarrow 0$ then the statement is proved, so assume, for a contradiction, that $\|Q_n T\| \geq c$ for all n . Choose f_n , $\|f_n\| = 1$, such that $\|Q_n T f_n\| \geq c/2$ for each n . By compactness of T , there is a subsequence such that $T f_{k_n} \rightarrow g$ for some g . Then $\|Q_{k_n} T f_{k_n}\| \leq \|Q_{k_n} g\| + \|Q_{k_n}(g - T f_{k_n})\| \leq \|Q_{k_n} g\| + \|g - T f_{k_n}\|$ (since Q_{k_n} always has norm 1), and both the terms on the RHS converge to zero, which is our desired contradiction.

2

Example. Orthogonal projections are self-adjoint. The operator on $L^2([0, 1])$ mapping $f(x)$ to $x f(x)$ is self-adjoint. The operator mapping $f(x)$ to $e^{ix} f(x)$ is not self-adjoint. Nor is $f(x) \mapsto \int_0^x f(s) ds$ self-adjoint. (What are the adjoints?)

Exercise. Let $K(x, y)$ be a continuous function on $[a, b] \times [a, b]$. Show that the integral operator on $L^2([a, b])$

$$f(x) \mapsto \int_a^b K(x, y)f(y) dy$$

is self-adjoint exactly if $K(x, y) = \overline{K(y, x)}$. (If $K(x, y)$ is only bounded and measurable, then the same result holds for a.e. (x, y) .)

It turns out that for self-adjoint compact operators, the diagonal example above is in fact the general case:

Theorem 3.5. Let T be a compact self-adjoint operator on H . Then there is an orthonormal basis e_1, e_2, \dots of H consisting of eigenvectors of T . Thus $Te_i = \lambda_i e_i$, and we have $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda_i \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

- This is the analogue in infinite dimensions of the fact that a real symmetric matrix is diagonalizable via an orthogonal matrix.

Steps in the proof:

1. Show that $\|T\| = \sup_{\|f\|=1} |(Tf, f)|$.
2. Show that the quantity on the RHS takes a maximum value at some f which is an eigenvector of T .
3. Eigenspaces of T corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal.
4. The operator T restricts to a compact self-adjoint operator $T|_V$ whenever V is an eigenspace, or direct sum of eigenspaces.
5. Thus the direct sum of all eigenspaces must be the whole space.

Proof: 1. Claim: For any self-adjoint operator T (compact or not),

$$\|T\| = \sup_{\|f\|=1} |(Tf, f)|.$$

To see this, we use the characterization

$$\|T\| = \sup_{\|f\|, \|g\|=1} |(Tf, g)|.$$

4

So, with $M = \sup_{\|f\|=1} |(Tf, f)|$, we have $\|T\| \geq M$. To prove $\|T\| \leq M$, we write using the self-adjointness of T

$$4 \operatorname{Re}(Tf, g) = (T(f+g), f+g) - (T(f-g), f-g).$$

Then, we get

$$4 |\operatorname{Re}(Tf, g)| \leq M(\|f+g\|^2 + \|f-g\|^2),$$

and the 'parallelogram law' gives

$$\|f+g\|^2 + \|f-g\|^2 = 2(\|f\|^2 + \|g\|^2) = 4.$$

So $|\operatorname{Re}(Tf, g)| \leq M$. Replacing g by $e^{i\theta}g$ we can make $|\operatorname{Re}(Tf, g)| = |(Tf, g)|$ and the proof is complete.

2. Therefore, either $T = 0$, in which case the theorem is trivial, or $|(Tf, f)| > 0$ for some f with $\|f\| = 1$. By replacing T with $-T$ if necessary, we can assume that there exists f with $(Tf, f) > 0$ (note that by self-adjointness, (Tf, f) is real for all f).

Consider the problem of maximizing (Tf, f) as f ranges over the unit ball of H . By 1., the set of values (Tf, f) , $f \in B$, has a supremum $\mu = \|T\| > 0$, so we may take a sequence f_n , $\|f_n\| = 1$ with

$$(Tf_n, f_n) \rightarrow \mu.$$

I claim that

$$\|(T - \mu)f_n\| \rightarrow 0.$$

To see this, we square the LHS and compute

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \|(T - \mu)f_n\|^2 &= \|Tf_n\|^2 - 2\mu(Tf_n, f_n) + \mu^2 \\ &\leq 2\mu(\mu - (Tf_n, f_n)) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

which verifies the claim. Now we exploit compactness of T : the sequence (Tf_n) has a subsequence converging, say to μf . Passing to the subsequence we may assume that the sequence (Tf_n) itself converges. Then f_n converges to f , since

$$\|f_n - f\| \leq \mu^{-1} (\|(T - \mu)f_n\| + \|Tf_n - \mu f\|) \rightarrow 0.$$

By continuity of T , $Tf = \lim_n Tf_n = \mu f$.

Thus we have found an eigenvector f of T .

5

3. If $Tv = \lambda v$, and $Tw = \mu w$, then we have

$$(v, w) = \lambda^{-1}(Tv, w) = \lambda^{-1}(v, Tw) = \mu\lambda^{-1}(v, w),$$

so $(v, w) = 0$ unless $\lambda = \mu$.

4. Whenever a self-adjoint operator preserves a subspace, i.e. $T(v) \in V$ for every $v \in V$, then it also preserves the orthogonal complement, since $(Tx, v) = (x, Tv)$. Certainly T preserves each eigenspace, and thus T restricts to an operator on the orthogonal complement of all eigenspaces. It's easy to see that it is still compact and self-adjoint there.

5. Finally, we see that the orthogonal complement of all eigenspaces must be the zero subspace; otherwise, by the above, T restricts to it and has eigenvector there! \square

Example. Be warned: the situation for nonself-adjoint compact operators is quite different. For example, consider the operator UT where T maps e_i to e_i/i and U maps e_i to e_{i+1} . This is compact, but it has no eigenvectors at all.

3.3 Applications of the spectral theorem

There are many applications of this result. One I want to mention here is to showing that orthonormal sets are actually bases. For example, suppose we want to show that the orthonormal set of functions

$$(2\pi)^{-1/2} e^{i(n+1/2)\theta}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

as elements of $L^2([0, 2\pi])$, form an ONB. We can do this by manufacturing a compact self-adjoint operator T for which these functions are the eigenfunctions! Which operator? You might think of $T = id/d\theta$, but this doesn't work because it is not bounded, let alone compact. Instead, we use integration.

Check that the operator

$$f(\theta) \mapsto \frac{i}{2} \left(\int_0^\theta f(s) ds - \int_\theta^{2\pi} f(s) ds \right)$$

is compact and self-adjoint, and that its eigenfunctions are precisely the set $(2\pi)^{-1/2} e^{i(n+1/2)\theta}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

6

3.4 Sturm-Liouville operators

A Sturm-Liouville operator is an operator $L : C^2([a, b]) \rightarrow C([a, b])$ of the form

$$Lf(x) = -f''(x) + q(x)f(x)$$

where $q(x)$ is a continuous function. Here we will assume that $q(x) \geq 0$. We will prove that there is a complete set of eigenfunctions of L in $L^2([a, b])$, that is, functions $\phi_n(x)$ such that

$$L\phi_n(x) = \mu_n \phi_n(x).$$

Notice that if $q(x) \equiv 1$, and $[a, b] = [0, \pi]$, then a complete set of eigenfunctions is the set $\sin nx$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. The result can then be viewed as a generalized, 'variable coefficient' version of Fourier series.

As before, the operator L cannot be bounded on L^2 , since it involves derivatives. The idea is to construct the inverse operator to L . This can be done in a surprisingly explicit way. What we do is look for two solutions $\phi_-(x)$ and $\phi_+(x)$ of the equation $L\phi = 0$. These are specified by their initial conditions: we require that $\phi_-(a) = 0$, $\phi'_-(a) = 1$, while $\phi_+(b) = 0$, $\phi'_+(b) = 1$. I claim that $\phi_-(b) \neq 0$. Otherwise, compute

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_a^b \phi_-(x) L\phi_-(x) dx \\ &= \int_a^b \phi_-(x) \left(-\phi_-'(x) + q(x)\phi_-(x) \right) dx \\ &= \int_a^b (\phi_-'(x))^2 + q(x)(\phi_-(x))^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Here we integrated by parts and used the boundary conditions, $\phi_-(a) = \phi_-(b) = 0$ to eliminate the boundary term (which is $\phi_-(b)\phi_-'(b) - \phi_-(a)\phi_-'(a)$). Because we assumed that $q \geq 0$, this can only be if ϕ_- is identically zero, which contradicts the condition $\phi_-'(a) = 1$.

We next conclude that ϕ_- and ϕ_+ are linearly independent; otherwise $\phi_-(b) = 0$.

Recall from ODE theory that the Wronskian,

$$W(x) = \phi_+(x)\phi_-'(x) - \phi_-(x)\phi_+'(x)$$

is constant in x . Evaluating at $x = b$ we see that it is nonzero. We write $W = W(b)$.

Now I claim that the integral operator T with kernel

$$K(x, y) = \begin{cases} \phi_-(x)\phi_+(y)/W, & x \leq y \\ \phi_+(x)\phi_-(y)/W, & x \geq y \end{cases}$$

7

is an bounded operator on $L^2([a, b])$. An interesting computation shows that, for all continuous $f \in C([a, b])$, Tf is C^2 and

$$L(Tf) = f.$$

(Do it!) However, T is a self-adjoint compact operator, and hence has a complete set of eigenfunctions $\phi_n(x)$ such that $T\phi_n(x) = \lambda_n \phi_n(x)$. It is not hard to check that the range of T consists of continuous functions, so each $\phi_n(x)$ is continuous, and hence C^2 . It follows that $L\phi_n(x) = \lambda_n^{-1} \phi_n(x)$. This shows that L has a complete set of eigenfunctions, as claimed.

Remark. Sturm-Liouville operators, and the corresponding differential equations, are very important in physics and applied mathematics. As an example, the (time independent) Schrödinger equation describing the quantum mechanical behaviour of a particle moving on an interval with potential $q(x)$ is exactly the equation $-f''(x) + q(x)f(x) = \lambda f(x)$. For a particle moving in \mathbb{R} rather than a bounded interval $[a, b]$, the analysis above does not apply, and in general the eigenvectors do not form a basis.

Remark. Even though L is not bounded, it can still be understood as a self-adjoint operator on $L^2([a, b])$. There are two technicalities: first, we must restrict the domain to functions whose second derivative lies in L^2 , and second, for self-adjointness, we must impose suitable boundary conditions on the functions.

Notice that, from the ODE point of view, in order to solve $Lu = f$ uniquely for a given f , say in $C([a, b])$, we need to specify two values of u , since there are two arbitrary constants in the solution of a second order ODE. You might think it would be natural to specify say $u(a)$ and $u'(a)$, but this does not give a self-adjoint problem. Instead we impose one condition at $x = a$ and one at $x = b$.

To see this, compute for smooth enough functions

$$\begin{aligned} (Lf, g) - (f, Lg) &= \int_a^b \left(-f''(x) + q(x)f(x) \right) \overline{g(x)} - \\ &\quad - f(x) \overline{\left(-g''(x) + q(x)g(x) \right)} dx \\ &= \int_a^b \left(-f''(x)\overline{g(x)} + f(x)\overline{g''(x)} \right) dx \\ &= f'(x)\overline{g(x)} - f(x)\overline{g'(x)} \Big|_a^b \\ &= f'(b)\overline{g(b)} - f(b)\overline{g'(b)} - f'(a)\overline{g(a)} + f(a)\overline{g'(a)} \end{aligned}$$

8

This vanishes, for example, if we require that f and g vanish at a and b . (Another suitable condition is that f' and g' vanish at a and b .)